CONTINUOUND LITTERATURE 0710HD 1913

B) THE SAME SCTION

AND THEIR HELITISING ATTON IN

LUZABETHAN ROCULS AND A GABONDS

# COLLEGE ENGLISH

A MANUAL FOR
THE STUDY OF INGLISH FITTING
AND COMPOSITION

ΒY

## TRANK AYDELOTTE

PROCESSE LUGARN OF CA PAGE H IN LADITAR FRILLENILA

#### NEW YORK

### OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS

AMERICAN BRANCH SS WEST S NO STREET LONGON TORONTO MFLBOURNF AND BOMBAY HUMPHREY MILFORD

1913

ALL BIGHTS PESERVED

Copuright 1913
BY OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS
AMERICAN BRANCH

To my associates

in Indiana University
with whose assistance their whas
were first developed in practice

this bool is gratefully
dedicated



### PREFACE

This book-or the course in which the ideas of it were first worked out-originated in the author's feeling of the importance of making elementary English literature and English composition in the university a more thoughtful a more unmistakably bimane study. It undertakes to give the student an insight into the beauty of literature not by thansodizing about it, not by analyzing its technique nor by tracing the lustory of literary forms and move ments, but, instead, by what, in the opinion of the writer, is the only true key to it-by trying to understand the meaning. It undertakes to give him an insight into the principles of good writing and to enable him to develop such command over language as it is possible for him to attain, not by fixing his attention primarily on the words which he is using, but, instead, upon the meaning which he wishes to express

Starting, then, with the assumption that literature is the expression of a certain mode of thought, it under takes with the aid of a selection of mineteenth century cutted essays, to define and curried that conception and to make clear a few of its implications—to explain the liberal value of literary studies by explaining the meaning of liberal education and showing the place of literature in it.

It then goes on in connection with the study of four English poets—the four which I suppose most people would agree it is most important for the undergraduate to viii Pi er voe

study if he is to study no others—to illustrate the conception of literature as a form of thought by trying to
apprehend a few of the most important ideas which these
poets expressed in their works. After reviewing then, in
connection with Sidney is Ipologie the main ideas de
veloped so far, the book undertakes finally (in a chapter
which though placed last should be used from the begin
ning of the course) to indicate the application of these
ideas to the student's own writing.

At the end is an Appendix for teachers, in which I

have tried to give in complet form such suggestions for the practical working of a course of this kind as it seemed imight prove useful. The c suggestions are drawn from four verre' experience with the course at Indiana Univer

sits, but as I have stated in the Appendis, they are not officed in the helief that there is any one system of ma chinners by which these ideas may be he t advanced, the aim has been throughout to make the statement of the point of view here embodied flexible enough to suit the widest possible variety of circumstances and uses.

I have made no effort to acknowledge my obligations to the hools or men which may have been the source of any of the ideas here expressed. It would have been hard to do so without the addition of a large number of footnotes which would have been out of place in what is designed as an elementary textbook, and pedantic in what pretends to be no contribution to poetic theory but only the re-

statement of a position as old as criticism.

It will be clear that this book is intended throughout to be used in connection with the essays and poetry on which it comments or with other material of the same nature it would be best, in my opinion, for the class to read and

#### Prefice

discuss each chapter after they had finished the reading and discussion of the works upon which the chapter is bried. The tables of reading and theme subjects in the Appendix are arrun-ed upon this principle. The function of a book of this kind as I concern at as to stimulate thought about literature. It is not meant to supply the student with ready made opinions but to impel him to form his own. It is not me uit to do his reading for him nor to make the explinations which should be unide by his instructor. It is not meant to be mider thirdal le without the reading, nor is it meant to be an exhaustive statement of the value and interest of the reading which it recommends. When the student has fully entered upon a course of thoughtful literary study he will need other guides ind charts for his youn. This book is one of those pilots whose work is done when the ship has left the hubor and turned her boys out to see

ГЛ

The uthor acknowledges the courtest of the I'duca tional Review in permitting him to reprint sourch pure graphs from an essay which originally appeared in that journal



## CONTENTS

PAGE

145

CHAPTER

INDEX

Preface.	`
I NEWMAN'S IDEA OF TIBEPAT	hnowledge
II Arvold's IDEA OF CULTURE	15
III I ITPPATUPE AND SCIENCE	25
IV I ITEL ATURL AND ECONOMICS	3
V LUL HEPO-AS-PORT	4:
I I IIILRATUSE AND EDUCATION	48
VII Wordsworth	62
111 Pope	7-
MILTON	88
SHAKESPEAPE	90
M Stoyen's Incory or Poltry	. 111
All Writing and Inivi ing	118
APPENDIX	
A LISTS OF READING	125
L I HEML SUBJECTS	139
C THE I REATHERT OF THE I AC	IS OF LITEBARY
HISTOPY AND BIOGRAPHY	138

Here therefore is the first distemper of learning when

Licon, Of the Advancement of Learning

men study words and not matter

### NEWMAN'S IDEA OF LIBERAL KNOWLEDGE

Most undergraduates, in this practical country of ours, have some reason for going to college. It as led to write an essay on "What I expect from my college comse' their answers will be more or less definite, and will reflect the many desires of men the search for the mastery of this subject or that, for this or that practical purpose or, more vaguely, the wish to require a "general" education, for the sake of the pleasures and ideantiges which college training is supposed to bring. Their futh in the uni versity is strong, they come one and all in the belief that she is prepared to sitisfy their needs. Yet few or none will express or feel that need for the satisfaction of which the university primarily exists. All the desires of man become in the end desire for understanding the mysteries of his environment and of his own nature, the conditions of his existence. Whereas the animal appears to seek food and shelter and power as the means of living, growing fit, and leaving behind a minerous progeny, the e things, which are the end of its life, are to man only a means to the final end of knowledge

This is the end of the university and of university teaching the solution (or such partial solution as is possible) of the problems of life. The various depart ments of I nowledge (what Newman calls, 'secience," in a sense slightly different from our use of the word) are

simply various methods of attack, various aspects of the solution of the fundamental mystery as men have been able to find it out One - solution, the working basis of life, one must make from all, must construct it, each one for himself No man can do this for another Each man's education, in reality, must be his own, something which he has thought out for hunself. The office of books and of instruction is to put the student in the way of mak ing progress for hunself they cannot make his progress for Real knowledge cannot be learned, it can only be acquired by individual thought A good book, like a good teacher, may help the individual to reach heights which he could never have reached by himself, or, on the other hand, may cramp and restrain him until real advance ment becomes impossible, according to the way in which it is used, according to whether it is the stimulus for thought, which it should be, or the rule of thought, which it should not

The aim of this book is to guide the student in his task of getting from literature the stimulus which it should offer to his thought, the contribution which it should make to his education-in other words, its meaning. Not much can be done in one year, nor in the four years of a uni versity course One can only mike a start. The matter of prime importance is that the start should be in the right direction, that a few underlying principles should be mastered not that one should have read much, or have written well, but that one should have learned how to

read or to write The one thing that is most important is to realize that the significance of literature for the reader as well as for him who writes lies in what is said, not in how it is said, in

the subject matter not in the form. The form is secondary, contributive, or better repre entative. That does not mean that the expression is unimportant, it only means that in any piece of genuino literature the form is so intimately adopted to the ideas that the two are inseparable, and, in so far as the work is perfect form and meaning are one. The only may to know this the only way to understand what is called form or style is by trying to under that the meaning by realizing that literary genus is power to think.

We shall begin our study by a consideration of cer tunice use of the prose writers of it o minetenth century whose works will perhaps offer to the beginner the readicat illustration of the e-principles. Newman Arnold, Hardes Ruskin and Carly e-The careful realing of these e-ass on fun lamental problems of education and literature should both teach the student to think as he reads and at the same time open his eyes to some of the meaning and possibilities of literary tudy. After such a preputation we may go on to the more important 1 art of our task the study of poetry from the same point of view. First of all we shall consider Newman's ideas on the meaning of minerarity training.

Newman lived from 1801 to 1890. He was at Oxford during the twenties a student at Trinity and later Pellow of Oricl. He wither ed the partial reorganization of the Oxford curriculum in the direction of these theeral studies which have since made that university so famous. He sympathized with his associates and seniors at Oricl in their defines of these liberal studies against the severe

4

utilitarian eriticism of the I dinburgh Review The best veirs of his life, however, were given up not to education but to theology I rom the beginning in 1833, he was recognized as a leader in what was to be called the Ox ford Movement His studies of the seventeenth century theologians and of the Church Lathers in the effort to codify the theology of the Anghern Church and to author tre her customs led him finally to the Church of Rome In 1845 he became a Loman Catholic In 1854 he was made Rector of the newly-organized Catholic University of Dubha, and the lectures delivered immediately before and during his tenure of that office constitute the book which he called I he Idea of a I meersity The questions which he discusses are mainly those which were in the air at Oxford in the twenties and they are the questions which are the most vital in American education to-day. What is the end of a university course? What value has know! ed\_c aside from utility ' What is the difference between information and real knowledge? What is the connection between knowledge and virtue? In addition, and first of all, he discus as the relation of the university to the church, and of profuse knowledge to theology in a man ner which will not perhaps have much meining for the Protestant American undergraduate This part of his book full of interest as it is if adequately studied, we may very well omit. For the rest the important thing to re member is that Newman is not in the e discourses recom mending Catholicism to Prote tants but that he is rather uiging upon Catholics the advantages of liberal culture,

and doing so by arguments which are non theological and which have equal force for Catholic, Protestant, or Non believer

One cannot understand what Newman means by liberal knowledge without fir t getting clearly in mind his conception of a university. The escential character of a university hes for him in its breadth it is a center, a metropolis of art and learning, all of the world's best gathered into one place. All sciences, all branches of knowledge are there taught, the students come from all quarters of the earth. Excry current of thought is there reflected, every form of knowledge is there advanced this conception occurs frequently in his writings and is claborated with glowing enthusian in one of his Historical Sketches which he entitles "What is a University?"

"In the nature of things greatness and unity go to gether, excellence implies a center and such, for the third or fourth time, is a University, I hope I do not werry out the reader by repeating it. It is the place to which a thousand schools make contributions, in which the intellect may safely range and speculate, sure to find its equal in some anti-construction, and its judge in the ribunal of truth. It is a place where majority is probed forward, and discoveries verified and perfected, and readness of main and discoveries verified and perfected, and readness of main which man, and knowledge with knowledge. It is a place where the professor becomes cloquent and is a mis signary and a preceder, displaying his scatter in its most complete and more twinning form pouring it forth with the zeal of enthusiasm, and lighting mp hi own love of it in the breasts of his heavers. It is the place where the catechist makes good his ground as he goes, tranding and tightening it into the expanding reason. It is a place which was the admiration of the wong by its celebrity, shadles the affections of the middle-aged by its beauty which was the affections of the middle-aged by its beauty is shadles the affections of the middle-aged by its beauty.

and rivets the fidelity of the old by its associations. It is a seat of wisdom, a light of the world, a minister of the faith, an Alma Mater of the rising generation."

So broadly constituted the university does for the stu dent more than give him acquaintance with the special branch or branches of knowledge of which he is in search It gives him some idea of the extent and the limitations of that particular science, some notion of the world of knowl edge as a whole, by the mere fact of his contact with so many interests different from his own. The different "sciences ' are for Newman but subdivisions of truth, each is true but only a part of the truth, misleading if taken alone, restrained by contact with other provinces of the world of knowledge. This realization of the inter relation of the seience is the sole vantage ground from which it is possible for the student to ottain to the masters of one without at the same time narrowing his outlook and warping his conclusions as to the fiets of this one seignce itself. But in the university in the presence of all forms of knowledge, the very rivalry of other studies keeps students and teachers clear as to the extent and validity of the particular one in which they are engaged This understanding of the limits and validity of vari

This understanding of the limits and validity of various branches of knowledge is quite different from the sole pursuit of one. It is this which the innversity, by virtue of its nature gives the student over and above the special training which he caks. This broader outlook Newman calls philosophy the result of at is the philo ophical light of mind or liberal knowledge. I theral knowledge is different from information wit information as detailed therough and exact as possible is naces ary to it. But to this information into the added capacity for thought, the

ability to look at facts not merely from their level but from above, to co their meaning and significance. Liberal knowledge is information transformed by thought. Hence Newman's emphasis upon the value of conversation, not as a means of acquiring information, but as an opportunity for using it, as stimulus to thought.

This cultivation of the mind the ability to use facts, is not the same thing as professional knowledge, although it is of the utmost value to the professional man and may be the result of professional training. But in its es ence professional or useful knowledge means the power to do skilfully certain useful things. It implies and often in volves a narrowing of the faculties, a concentration upon one task to the exclusion of other interests and other knowledge The liberally educated man, on the other hand, will view his professional knowledge in the light of a larger whole, will see it not as the whole world of knowl edge but as only a part, will understand not morely the ficts and rules-of thumb which he uses daily but the underlying principles which his bis occupation with the whole world of science, art, and philosophy The posses sion of the power of thought which liberal knowledge im plies will give him a wisdom and a resource in the practice of his profe-sion and a pleasure in contemplating its rela tions with the whole world of knowledge unknown to his more narrowly educated associates The question is one of attitude rather than of the specific subjects studied At the present time our efforts to obtain liberally educated professional men often take the form of requiring a double education-first a general course and then a professional one-a plan which involves a large expenditure of time and money, and which is perhaps at some disadvantage in accomplishing one important thing, namels, in making clear to the student the liberal significance of his professional knowledge. In one very interesting passage Newman indicates that in his opinion such double training is not necessary, that professional knowledge may be so imparted as to have a philosophical as well as a professional significance so as to give the student a liberal rither than a servile attitude toward the information which it is necessary for him to acquire

If then I am arguing and shall argue, again t Profe sional or Scientific knowledge as the sufficient end of a I miversity Liducation, let me not be suppo ed, Gentlemen, to be disrespectful toward particular studies, or arts or vocations and the e who are engaged in them In saving that Law or Medicine is not the end of a University cour co I do not mean to amply that the University does not teach I am or Medicine What indeed can it teach at all if it does not teach something particular? It teaches all knowledge by teaching ill branches of knowledge, and in no other way. I do but say that there will be this dis tinction as regards a Profes or of Law, or of Medicine and out of it that out of a linearity he is in dauger of heing ab orbed and narrowed by his pur uit, and of giving lectures which are the lectures of nothing more than a lawver pliv ician, geologist, or political economi t, whereas in a University he will know just where he and his science stand he has come to it, as it were, from a height, he has taken a survey of all knowledge he is kept from extrana gance by the very reader of other studies he has gained from them a special illumination and largene of mind and freedom and self po ession and he treats his own in consequence with a philo ophy and a resource which be longs not to the study stself but to his liberal education."

Newman Idea of a University Discourse VII section 6

In this connection it is important to emphasize Newman's caution against suniterings. Breadth in his estimation does not come from knowing a little of many things, but from knowing a few things well so well as to inderstind their limitations as well as their truth. The distinction is one of quality, not of quantity, it is a matter of absorbing and digesting. It is concertable that a given student might know a few things in such a way is to make them neally liberal knowledge, while another with twice the number of fets might still be the poses or of mer information with no power of liberal thought. A good illustration, well worth pendering over is Owen Wister's capital story Philosophy Lour.

What then is the value of whit Newman cills liberal knowledge or the pluto ophical libbit of nimit? Not learning it is not extensive enough to merit that nime though true scholar-lisp in the sense in which Tichte defines the term, is impossible without it. Not professional skill nor direct utility, though the full knowledge may serve as its basis. Its real end is power of mind the disciplinate of a man's own nature his capacity for independent thought Its object is to train a man to be a good member of society in those many relations outside his more personal pursuit of likelihood and fame. In other words its end is the making of an intelligent man—what Newman calls a gentleman—a conception which, in some respects, has mover been better defined than at the end of Discourse VII.

<sup>&</sup>quot;A university training [says Newman] is the great ordinary means to a great but ordinary end, it aims at raising the intellectual tone of society, at cultivating the public mind, at purifying the national taste, at supply

ing true principles to popular enthusiasm and fixed aims to popular aspiration, at giving enlargement and sobriety to the ideas of the age, at facilitating the exercise of political power, and refining the intercourse of private life It is the education which gives a man a clear conscious view of his own opinions and judgments, a truth in developing them, an eloquence in expressing them, and a force in urging them It teaches him to see things as they are, to go right to the point to disentangle a skein of thought, to detect what is sophistical and to discard what is irrelevant. It prepues him to fill any post with credit and to master any subject with facility. It shows him how to accommodate himself to others, how to throw him self into their state of mind, how to bring before them his own, how to influence them, how to come to an under standing with them, how to bear with them. He is at home in any society, he has common ground with every class, he knows when to speak and when to be silent, he is able to converse, he is able to listen, he can ask a question pertinently and gain a lesson seasonably, when he has nothing to impart himself, he is ever ready, yet never in the way, he is a pleasant companion and a com-ride you can depend upon, he knows when to be cerious and when to trifle and has a sure tact which enables him to trifle with gracefulness and be serious with effect. He has the repose of mind which lives in itself while it lives in the world and which has resources for its happiness at home when it cannot go abroad He has a gift which erves him in public and supports him in retirement without which good fortune is but vulgar, and with which failure and di appointment have a charin"

But the cultivated man as here portraved, is not, in Accuman's opinion necessarily virtuous in the truest sense. His life and character are adjusted to the demands of this world. Inst thought is sufficient for the problems which be meets day by day, but Newman would deny its power to solve the deepest problems of life and would say of course that the only solution of the emisteries is through faith in God's revelation of a mirroulous plan of salvation, and through obedience to the conditions of that plan

### ARNOLD'S IDEA OF CULTURE

THE nineteenth century in England was an age of ex pansion that is to say of remarkable progress along many lines at the same time. In the first place, it was an era of great industrial prosperity which advanced by such enormous strides that Lucland took first rank in manufac turing and shipping among the powers of the world Her princes and kings of finance grew steadily richer and richer while for some dark reason, her poorer ela es greu poorer and poorer, seeming by every invention which should have made their worl lighter and their condition of life casier to be plunged further into more and more hopeless potenty. It was an age of intellectual progress as well Natural elence, under the impetus of the con ceptions formulated by Dirwin and his associates, took pos ession of new fields of discovery and went forward at such a rate as to overshadow all other branches of knowl edge and threaten to introduce its methods into every de protinent of thought. At the same time the age was one of political advancement at was pre-cumently the period of the struggle of the masses for political power, for exten sion of the right of suffra e-the period in which the great lower classes of Lanland in isted upon and gained the right to rule themselves. It was il o an age of change and popular advancement in education. Tree schools multiplied, and in place of the older classical standards

we find a growing tendency to measure educational values by the standard of utility, the more and more frequent introduction into the curricula of the schools of those subtects which are directly and immediately useful for gaining a livelihood Last of all, the mineteenth century was a period of great religious changes, of dissatisfaction with the Church of England, of the birth and increase of many Protestant sects, of the simplification of the creed, and of decline in religious behef crused by the advance of ser entific conceptions of knowledge and proof which attacked vigorously all that might be call superstitious in the creed and customs of the Lstablished Church The eighteenth century had been an age of immorality and veiled skepti erem the nineteenth was one of higher moral standards, of intense idealism, and of frank rejection of such behefs as now seemed impo sible to an educated mind

The result of this expression was on every hand disorder and confusion, a lack of any clear perception of the drift of things the people were morally, intellectually, and politically at sea There was no lick of the e who offered to guide the realm to posee and safety, but there were few who really any clearly and then advice was on the whole httle heeded. The period bears many studing resemblances to conditions at the present day in the United States We have the same parallel advance of progress and poverty, we have the same struggle of the masses for political power not of course, due to lack of the vote but from lack of knowing how to make the vote effective, we have the same confusion in autillectual matters, the same restless search for an adequate curriculum for the schools, the same prophets of a new era of knowledge whose prophecies we dare not trust the same bias toward practreal utility the same confusion and decline in religion It is this similarity between conditions in I ngland in the nineteenth century and in America to-day which makes the writings of Newman, Arnold, Hirdey, Ruskin, and Carlyle of such great interest and value to us in working out a solution of our own difficulties, and so striking an illustration of the connection between literary thought and everyday life.

Matthew Arnold heed from 1822 to 1888. He was the son of Dr. Ihomas Arnold the famous headmaster of Rugby. In 1844 he graduated with honors at Oxford, the next year, just as Newman was going over to the Roman Church, he heeame a Tellow of Ornel. He followed various employments, chiefly that of inspector of schools, until 1883. From 1857 to 1867 he was Profes or of Poetry at Oxford, his lectures immediately took high rank as criterian, ho wrote poetry as well and by 1867 he stood among the foremost of English literary men.

Arnold was a close student of the manifold currents of thought, of the confused and struggling social life of his time. A representative of classical culture, an apostle of the so called higher criticism of the Bible, a student of the modern hierature of other nations, Arnold brought all his intellectual resources to bear upon the problems of his own day. By nature he was a schoolmaster, he had perfect faith in his theories of hierature and culture, and he advocated a humanism of his own as a specific for the cults of his time. From 1867 he devoted his life not primarily to criticism in the ordinary sense of that word, but to the promulgation of his theories of culture and their application to the state, to religion, and to hierature. Culture

and Anarchy is the first and, in some respects, the most interesting of the utterances of that programme, whether one cut accept the views there advanced or not, it is well worth the study of every man who expects to be an intelligent citizen or who wisbes to understand the bearing of literature upon political life.

Arnold's simple prescription for the cine of the complex political, social, and intellectual alls of his age was, "Get culture" For how culture meant the assimilation of the best thought of the present and the past, of England and of other countries. Its end was conduct, to enable men to do right by first knowing what was 114ht, the improvement of society by the training of worthy members of society, "to make reason and the will of God prevail" Arnold was fond of using nicknames of his own selection, citch words which make it easy to get a superficial idea of his books without really understanding the depth of his thought, and which have always furnished a ready open ing for satirical attacks upon his ideas. His chapters on "Sweetness and Light" and "Hebraism and Hellenism" are really concerned with the relation of Intelligence and the Sense of Beauty to Morality-the relation between culture and conduct

Morality, the sum total of right hving, right action in all relations of life, was for Arnold the end of culture, which is identical in effect with religion. Indeed Arnold measured religion by its moral value, he treats Jesus primarily as a moral teacher. The last word to humanity, he says, in an eloquent passage in the Preface to Culture and Anarchy must always be, Do the best you know

"'If ye know these things, happy are ye if ye do them!'--the last word for infirm humanity will always

be that For this word, restricted with a power now subline, now affecting but always admirable our race will, as long as the world lasts, return to Hebraism, and the Bible, which preaches this word will for ever remain, as Goethe cilled it not only a national book, but the Book of the Nations.

This abiding sense of the neces its of doing the last we know be calls Hebrason. For it the environments of the western world not tries forever indebted to the Hebrews, for their example and for the supreme and final way on which they have expressed this idea in the literature of the Bible. It is this idea which gives what there is of great ness to I inglish Puritum in of the exenteenth century and the present.

But, Arnold ways for the ignorant man morality is merely negative resisting am putting down the animal nature, obeying the commandments. How shift not. To Arnold this is not enough it is pathetic to have men speaking of such a victory as if it implied perfection, and which be considers the implequacy and the failure of Puri tanism lies in the fact that such a negative victory is its sole idea of the perfect life. For that better part which has beyond the incre negative victory over an and which alone can make that victory permanent we must go not to the Hebrew element of our avalitation but to the Greek.

Hellensm as Arnold defines it, contains two main elements intelligence and the sense of banty, or, is he inetapliorically terms them Sweetness and Light Culture is the search for perfection and for it these are no less nece ears than the instinct for morality. In order to do right in a real and complete sense one must know what is right, and this is not a matter of revealed commandments.

Arnold 17

but of thought. Ignorance must result in wrong action stupid things done in the name of righteousness are a nightmare to Arnold, they are to him the great and constantly renewed burrier to the regeneration of the English people. His countiymen are content to rest in their ignorance, their minds are asked and so long is they are content so to rest, there is no hope for a solution of their troubles. Chrisosty in the best sense is a search for excellence, and of this currosity his countrymen have little or none. Even the name of it has required it their hands an ill repute.

But culture for Arnold is more than reason, more than is ordinarily considered the province of the intellect alone. It includes also that full appreciation of values and me in m, which we call the sense of beauty. The appreciation of beauty is to Arnold a form of knowing, a perception of finer shades of me using. It relates knowledge to other sides of our nature. It gives to conduct the higher virtues of tiet, hierovan, nobility. It is an important element in much that we call philosophy and religion, and without it man must ever fall short of my standard of perfection A few sentences from the Introduction to Ward's Linglish Poets will suggest his idea.

"We should conceive of poetry worthily, and more burdly than it has been the custom to conceive of it. We should conceive of it as capable of higher uses and called to higher destines, than those which in general men have assigned to it litherto. More and more manking will discover that we have to turn to poetry to interpret life for us, to console us, to entain us. Without poetry, our ser ence will appear incomplete, and most of what now presess with us for religion and philo ophy will be replaced by poetry."

The three elements of culture, in Arnold's opinion, are morality, intelligence, and the sense of beauty, the most important for any nation is that which is most lacking It is in the end which he conceives for culture that one sees the main difference between Arnold's theory of educa tion and Newman's For Arnold knowledge does produce virtue, the pursuit of culture is the pursuit of the best that is no sible to man. For Newman the requirement of lib eral knowledge is the acquirement of the qualities of the man of the world, the gentleman. These are qualities emmently desirable for houng in the world Newman would be glad to see Catbolics acquire them in the British Isles as the Anglicans in his day acquired them in their universities. But to be a man of the world is not to be assured of the salvation of the soul, as not to acquire virtue in the highest sense, but only a worldly imitation of it For true virtue for salvation, only revealed religion (as he would say, only the Catholic Church) is adequate, and the benefits of this religion accrue alike to educated and uneducated, to ignorant and wise. It is no part of our purpose here to pronounce upon these two points of view, the question is one which each individual mult decide for himself It may not be amiss however, to point out that the question cannot be ignored, and that the solu tion of it affects profoundly one's attitude towards litera ture and towards all linman knowledge

Arnold objected ordinarily to all detailed programmes of reform. The grounds of his objections, often unsunder stood, were that most propo ed reform are only a mean of doctoring symptoms, they leave the underlying can es unaffected, they obscure principles and lead only partial and temporary relief Once the true causes of our diffi culties are understood there will naturally he needed a certain machinery of hills and measures to set right such matters (not the most important) as can be so amended, but so-called practical reformers are worshipers of this machinery to the neglect of the ends which machinery is destined to serve. The end of life to them, as shown by their propaganda, is physical comfort, wealth, increased trade, universal suffrage the liberty to do as we pleasenot the culture and wisdom, not the spiritual improvement which the e might serve, and the search for which alone makes wealth and comfort and liberty productive of good rather than evil. No reforms will work until this fundamental aim is clear, that the end of life is spiritual culture, that the people should have light and that the light should set them free

The result, according to Arnold, of looking at problems of government and politics from the standpoint of enlight ened thought will be to make men see more clearly the ends to be served, will be to climinate the grosser part of their nature-the stupid self sceking which corrupts all politics and is most fatal of all to those who gain the mastery The result would be to give us laws and institutions repre senting not the worst part of our natures, the stupidest and the meanest part, but rather laws and institutions representing the best of us, our truest thought, our noblest character, our best selves. In his scheme this is to be the result of the power of ideas working and fermenting amon, the people It is to be the result of labor and of patience and of time Meanwhile radical measures, shout ing, elections new parties, and new laws only waste time and obscure the issue Hence his opposition to the multi

tude of so-called reformers which the troubles of the age

Arnold has been much criticised and his political writ ings perhaps never taken over seriously But his theories are, after all, hard to dismiss They have a significance especially great for a democracy where the people can do all if they but know what to do, where the attention of most men is centered upon machinery, and where few see the ends which the machinery of government and wealth and liberty could serve. One of the most frequent criticisms of Arnold's ideas is that they lack a definite programme, that he has devised no plan of earrying them out. He would have been the first to admit this and to depreente haste in acquiring it Meanwhile our popular state supported universities may well be considered in a step taken by the people in the direction in which he points, and which may carry us far if only the eye of the university be kept single and its face toward the hight

The political writings of Arnold were not outside the limits of his function and duty us a critic, as he mider tood that term. He defined literature very widely as the record of the best that has been thought and said in the world. The poet is the prophet to his age, the thinker the receiver of truth, the commentator upon the life which he portray. Put the poet Arnold are in a student of life rather than of books a student of his own age and his own people, not of foreign countries nor of the past. The critic on the other hand is the scholar, the student of all literature. His work his contribution to the poet and to the readers of the poet is to keep in circulation a current of true and fresh ideas drawn from the best of his study and reflection, which will illuminate the life and thought of his own times.

furnish the poet with standards of comparison mount him upon a height from which to view it supply him with ideas which are the material of his ongs. It is the least of the functions of the critic to pronounce upon looks as they appear, to say that this is good and that had this great and that small. He is rither the choolmaster to his as they make the poets and readers alike with that store of ideas which will destroy narrow provincial standards will enable them to profit by the less thought of other ages and other nation—enable them to uniterstand the worth of the life they leaf clerity in the light of other

thought, to see life steadily and see it whole

#### ш

### LITERATURE AND SCIENCE

THE question of the relative values of literature and science has been a point of Leen educational controversy for over half a century, and is perhaps the one most in portant question in education to day. These two depart ments, if for literature we substitute art in general, divide between them the whole world of human knowledge every subject may be referred to one or the other or shown to be a mixture of the two Philosophy, which might seem for a moment to be outside both, is really a criticism of the one or the other or of both, which undertakes to eas what they ultimately mean. Hence in seeking to look at litera ture in its relations to other knowledge, we come at once face to face with the question of the relation of literature, or art to science. This question like every other of importance, is one which neither the student nor his in structor need expect to settle out of hand. Our purpo c here is the far humbler one of opening it of putting the student in the way of thinking about it, of sugge ting to lim a point of view which whether he accept it or not, may be a point of departure from which he may expect, when in later years his ways of thinking have matured to work out a solution for himself I or the que tion between literature and seience is in the end a question of per onal ways of thinking and the e entral thing in treating it is that different points of view hould each have a fair hear-

#### LITERATURE AND SCIENCE

ing, that each student should be able, after due thought, to determine his own natural position and to understand that of one who differs from hun

Perhaps the most interesting way of opening the dis cussion will be to look at three c says on the subject, by Newman, Huxley, and Arnold In his lecture entitled Literature" and printed is the second of the di courses on ' University Subjects' in the second part of the Idea of a University Newman undertal es to define literature by this most fruitful and suggestive of all methods of defining it, by comparing and contristing it with science The gist of his reasoning is that literature is the expression of per on il, subjective thought while science is the expression of external objective fact, a description of some thing which exists outside man's mind and independently of it Hence the language of science is a collection of what he calls symbols, words which have one fixed meaning for all men, so that any scientific statement takes as little as pos ible of the character of the min who makes it languago of literature on the other hand is personal, literary style is a shadow of the writer's ways of thinking In so far as a man has literary genius he will mold lan guage to his own uses, make it reflect the intimate, per sonal, unique character of his thought Literary power. in his opinion, is the power of expressing neither less nor more than oneself The greate that ruer this thought, the greater the author an quality has in the person cleme contrast to this, in so f without personal civle, a verris of fact untinged by the hun

My own position, as the reader will see presently, would claim less for science and nore for hierature. It would as cert that a himnau bein, can male no picture of reality which is nucolored by the himnau mind, that a scientific hypothesis no less than a work of art, obeys the laws of order and de han-of rei onablenes, one might savewhich are necessary attributes of anything we can mider stand. And it would assert that artistic, poetic, literary pictures of the world, whose aim is to picture what we call its braity portry in doing so a fundamental aspect of what we think of as its real nature.

Huxley's addres on Science and Culture," delivered at the opening of Sir Josiah Mason's Science Col lege at Biraningham in 1950, and printed as the sixth esses in Science and I ducation is a commentary, from the point of view of science on Matthew Arnold's theory of culture as a criticism of life based on the be t that has been thought and said in the world. Huxley accepts Arnold's conception of culture as a criticism of life, that is as something more than more information or learning as implying a theory of life thought out by the individual on the basis of the soundest knowledge and best thought which the world has produced. But he opposes the tendency which he attributes to Arnold, to assume that the best that has been thought and said in the world is found in its literature alone. It is, he asserts, impossible to make an adequate theory of life while ignoring the knowledge and the thought contributed by physical science The Renaissance was a revival of Greek science as well as of Greek letters, any humanism which sees in Greek thought only Greek literary thought is incomplete and twisted in its view of Greek enviloation, just as a similar

point of view would be incomplete and unjust to the present

Huxley would not advocate a culture based exclusively on science. The question is one of aptitude and opportunity, and for the student who has not the time or ability adequately to read the classic literatures he would failor instruction, along with his science, in the modern, and, if possible, training in music or drawing or some other branch of art.

Arnold, lecturing in America three or four years later, answered Huxley's arguments in a discourse which he called "Literature and Science" and which was printed in 1855 as the second of his Discourses in America. He accepts Huxley's point that the results of science and cith or modern, are a part of the best that his been thought and said in the world, and maists that such was the menning he intended by that phrase. Commenting further on a statement of a certain unnained "President of the Section for Mechanical Science in the British Association" who had declired that unitural science was, on the whole, a more useful material of education thin his tory or literature, Arnold says that this is the usual point of view of science, and he gives his reasons for disagreeing with it

Human life, he sava, is built up by the power of conduct, the power of intellect and knowledge, the power of becuty, and the power of social life and manners. But these powers so far from being isolated elements of character, are constantly related by us, one to the other. For example, we tend constantly to relate knowledge to the savas for conduct and the sense for beauty and in any case, where this proces impossible wearness and dissatis

faction are the result. The permanent hold of literature on the human mind lies in the fact that it continually helps us to relate other knowledge to these powers of beauty and conduct. This relation, in Aanold's opinion, science does not make for itself, and, as the province of science widens and its lore grows in importance and extent, we shall, in his belief, he more and more dependent on the guidance of humane letters in working out for our selves the meaning of this new knowledge. Facts not so related to our sense for beauty and our sense for conduct however interesting in themselves and however important for practical ends, leave the deeper mind untouched make for one-sided, incomplete development, are an imperface means of education.

The question between Huxley and Arnold, it will read ily be seen, is not sharple defined in this discussion. In regard to most of the points on which they seem to differ they are really at one that the essence of culture, of edu cation, is thought, and that for this thought the true basis includes the ideas of scance as well as the e of liter iture Their agreement goes further than that Arnold's ex planation of the human necessity of relating all knowl edge to the sense for beants and the sen e for conduct is only a definition of what he means by thinking, and the difference between them becomes the personal one of the particular subject which each prefers, in which each in dividual finds the most illumination and timulus and to questions of expediencs in the choice of one literature or another Here, of cour c thes could never be brought together, but it is easy to see that each can be ju tified on their common ground Any knowledge which is real knowledge and not mere information relates it elf to the

sense for beau y and the sen e for conduct, all poetry and all morality reflect the cience of their age Literature 1 perhaps man's greatest expression of the total meaning of life, but it is not the sole expression of it. The sense of beauty to only the sense of wonder and delight and ave which comes to man when he finds, or thinks he finds, the real nature of the world and the laws of life conform ing to the principles of order and reasonableness, to the cenceptions of good and had, which exist in his own mind The sen e of conduct is only the sense for putting all the acts of his life in harmony with these laws which seem to be imprinted in the fibers of his mind and figured in the cour es of the stars. Science is an ittempt in di cover this reality, never more than dumly apprehended by the port or the secre to find out the conditions of life and to . put man in harmons with them. To relate itself to his on e for leanty and to his sense for conduct it need only do in the mind of any particular man what it certainly did in the mind of Huxley-achieve, in some degree, its amlitims, be true to its higher aims

In all the cross we have in a received the empha is a freed upon the differences between hierarms and seither rather them upon their similarines. It is true that the rather and energies a type of much different from the other. To the followers of conest hierarms offer its deer play or affectation a drawing or moster test is not play or affectation as drawing or moster test in the case, and thus haples. To the followers of the root receives make most an waxion reach to fall to the cones make most an waxion reach to fall the resolves of nature to the necessorial lake to fall the which is given in the present of the confer all the which is given in the group of the fall to which is given in the group of the fall to which it given in the group of the fall to which it given in the group of the fall to which it gives in the group of the given for the fall to which it gives in the group of the given fall to which it gives in the group of the group

alone give those comforts an adequate excuse for exist ence

There is in this contrast a certain amount of truth, but the likenesses between senince and literature are of much greater importance for the understanding of either. In one of the most celchrated of all explanations of the point of view of the scientist, Husley expres ly repudities the common opinion of the end of scientific knowledge He is the figure of the Alpine mother toiling up the mount un side, as she walks her fingers ceasele als ut work, knitting stockings for her children. This radu try is very commendable, he says, and the warm woolen stock ings very good things for the cold Alpine winter, but no one would say that becau e the mother knits them thus tircles Is her principal function is to provide her children with clothin. This she does but, if she is a real mother, she does more and better things for them. So with cience it also has an end other and much more important than providing material comforts—to solve so far as it can be its methods the nessteries of life. The purpose of science 14 the sine quest for truth which one finds in literature It is the expression of man's thought about life and the world around him the explination of it, so far as man has been able to go from its peculiar point of view. Titers ture 14 no more and no le 4 than this, the expre 1 m of man a thought about life and the world we live in only from another point of view, following other methods. The fundamental sime of the two are identical

Some and literature engage different types of much but this difference is commonly over-emphasized. The some it is often supposed to represent the type of calligned calculation, earliest inaggnation, without allosions, the impartial recorder of the results of experiments which he makes without bias and records without emotion. On the other hand the literary genius is supposed to be the enemy of logic and reason, living in a world of dreams, rapt in a divine frenzi, ittendant only on the words of a mysterious voice within which in some mysterial manner causes him to write or sing what all the world delights to hear. Each opinion represents an exaggiration impossible to the discriminating thinker.

The truth is that each pursuit occupies the whole mind of its followers. The faculties which we call by a false division imagination, reason, emotion, are all present to gether in every act of thought. Nowhere is there more demand for infiguration than in the formulation of a scientific hypothesis, the world, as science has constructed it, is the product of that fieldly no less than a novel, a play, or an epic poem. An interesting resemblance might be traced between what one might call the architecture of a scientific theory—its order, its reasonableness, its bal ance of parts, on the one hand, and that of a great novel or of a Gothic cathedral on the other. Each invests count lers details with a single and unified meaning. Dach obeys the principles of design inherent in the buinn mind I'nch puts into a complex world of matter and events a meaning which is the product of bold, original, independent thought. The methods of the e types of thought are different, but not their essential nature nor their fundamental ann

"It is an open secret Jans Sir Fiederick Pollock, in his biography of Chifford prefixed to the Icetures and Pssays! to the few who know it, but a mystery and a stumbling block to the many, that Science and Poetry are



language as well, seeks for symbols with but one unims takable meaning, is content to limit the range of his vision in order to see everything clearly within that range. The 'purer' and more exact the science, the further is it removed from the conditions of actual life, the narrower its aspect.

Interature, all art, on the other hand, deals with the world with something of the fullness and concreteness of reality It treats numberless complex details, complicated motives and situations, and, doing so, thist treat them valuely. Of course art makes us selection, its world is not so complex is the world of everyday but the details selected are treated concretely, the problem is never reduced to the ab tract summerts of science. The picture which art offers us, though vague is relatively complete, it attempts to measure the total significance of the de tails of which it treats In artist prints a landscape or a poet describes it Each explains the meaning which the landscape, as a whole, has for him Each makes it real, as we ay and set each treats the details impressionis tically, by a wavy motion of the brush, or by a figure of speech. The one thing which each makes clear is his ulea of the total meaning the re t, even in the case of the most uncompromising realist, is for the most part rague We supply by the aid of the imagination what the artist could not portray The language of art speaks to the imagination. It suggests as much as it says, its meaning is the sum total of all that it implies, it seizes and makes use of what science attempts to discard—the e eriones the connotations of words and lines and colors, which while they are vague yet add concreteness to the picture and fullness to the meaning

No one scientist would deal with this landscape as did the painter and the poet The geologist would deal with one aspect of it, the chemist with another, the botanist with mother, and if there were animals or human figures there would immediately be work for the zoologist, the authropologist, the psychologist, perhaps for scientists of every sort. The account of each would be clear, but frag mentary and violently abstracted from the concrete scene before us We should have from each an infinitesimal part of its total significance, but that small part so stated as to be true for all times and all conditions, while the artist and the poet had given us its meaning for them at one moment, in one mood, under one set of conditions, but, at the same time, its meaning as a whole Science is content with partial truth so that part be exact Litera ture art, is content with vagueness in details, with limita tions of time and mood, in order to grasp, in some fashion, the significance of the whole

The peculiar character of each the limitations of so ence and of art, are born of the limitations of man's mind We say that science is a search for truth, art is a search for beauty. In reality each is a search for the meaning of the whole of the world and the whole of life, to which meaning we give now the name of truth and now the name of beauty. Science and art differ as to their methods, but these methods, though different tend to converge. Science is fragmentary and abstract only because it must be so to be clear. Its ideal is a complete account which could be applied concretely to the whole.

Art is vague only because it must be so to be complete. Its ideal is to see the meaning of the whole under given conditions so clearly that one could reason back to the nature of each part and

the effect of other conditions with the exactness of science From the point of view of omniscience, science and art would be the same. Hence it is high praise to say that Dante writes like Luclid, or that the works of Huyley or Tyndall are literature

There have been great scientists who had no interest in art or literature and poets who had no interest in science, but such arc far from being the rule. Certainly if one follows Newman's reasoning one must believe that each is the better in his own pursuit for understanding its relation to the other, that no man is really educated or can know either liberally without knowing something of both

### ΙV

## LITERATURE AND ECONOMICS

One of the most interesting chapters in the history of English literary thought of the last century is that con corning the reflections of Carlyle and Ru kin on the busi ness methods of the day and their relation to the classical school of political economists. Political economy is, at least in ideal, a science As formulated by Ricardo and James Mill, its aim was to codity and reduce to order the principles underlying modern by mess the rules of the game played in modern industrial nations for the prize of wealth It did not purport to approve or di approve necessarily of the moral standards of this game, its intention was to explain the facts as they exist and the prin ciples underlying them. It did not purport to give a full account of modern life. Like all science it was abstract and incomplete, it viewed its subject matter from one angle merely, it did not attempt to measure the factors which might modify its abstract conclusions in real concrete situations

Everyone is familiar, in a superficial way at least, with the conceptions of this classical political economy. The desires of man all tend to measure and to express them selves in terms of material wealth. He tends to seek all ways the greatest possible amount of satisfaction at the least possible expenditure of labor, hence to buy always in the cheapest market and sell in the dearest. The prices of the various commodities which he buys and sells, including that of his own labor, are regulated automatically by the laws of supply and demand

For these thinkers man was, from the economic point of view, a buying and selling machine, in constant competition and sit v<sub>esp</sub>k, with other buying and selling machines. Any given economic situation was the resolution of their strife. They admitted treely that, in actual life, other factors—sentiment, generosity, nobility, pity, charity—entericd in freely to modify the results. But their point was that the way to arrive at a true understanding of any economic situation was to consider first the basic forces of selfishness and gived measure the fundamental economic strife, and then make such allowance for sentiment as the conditions demanded

This formulated the conception of the economic man We have a saying at the present time that corporations have no souls A large corporation engaged in the business of mining and selling coal is supposed, according to the popular idea to operate in a perfectly automatic manner It will pay its miners and workmen as little as they will take, it will sell its corl as high as it can, restrained only by the laws of supply and demand as controlled by itself or presented by competition. Its sole object in business is to make profits, and it does not abote these profits either to help the freezing poor in cities or starving miners' fumilies at the mines The shareholders may use these profits later for various charitable and philanthropic pur poses the sole concern of the corporation is to make them The economic man of the classical political economy was like this He was an abstraction, his buying and selling

characteristics were isolated from the remainder of his nature. The economist said nothing about the real man, he might be good, charitable, kind, or whatever. Only in his bisimess functions he was selfish and indolent buying as cheap and selling as deer as he could, restricted not by a sense of fairness or justice, but only by competition ind the force of the laws of supply and damand. The economist separated the business functions of a human being from the rest of him in order to study the c fine tions clearly just as the chemist in order to study the properties of iron first separates it from the ore in order to simplify his problem and rule out irrelevant factors.

John Ruskin was born in 1819 took his B \ at Ox ford in 1842, miblished the first four volumes of his best known work, Modern Painters at intervals from 1843 to 1856, and when, about 1857 he turned his attention to political economy he had already won for him elf the position of leading art critic of his day. There is no space here to de cribe his brilliant creatic versatile character and talents. Up to 1557 these had charfly been employed on matters relating to art, and his opinions were so widely accepted as to have great influence on the price of paintings in the market. When he be in to write on political economy it was then believed by excreme as it is by some people still, that he wa invading a field which had no connection with his work or abilities wa ting his time and making him elf ridiculous. Accertheless it is easy to see, at this distance why his intere t in the one subject should lead hun to the other He believed that the value of art lay in its expression of truth, that its end was to serve

hie, to make men better and nobler, and it was only natural that be should in his study of it study also the social life which he beheved it was the highest mission of art to serie. It was mentable that he should see that life must first be inade possible before it can be made noble. The result was his hielong interest in the working classes, his manifold and lay hich rities in which he dissipated a forting of nearly half a million dollars his many Hopman schemes for ideal communities where hie should be made simple and free and work dismitted and not le and, most important result of all the three or four works which contain his critici in of the them accepted theories of political economy and the others of business.

Many of his ideas about economics and social reform Ru kin owed, as he says him elf to the writings and conversation of Carlyle. In many books most notably per hips in Past and Present. Carlyle bid dinounced what he considered to be the cau es of the industrial exils of the day. His character is very different from Ruskin's, his thought, on the whole, deeper and truer but on essential points (in criticism no less than in economies) their ideas tend in much the same direction.

In 1857 Ruskin published in the Cornhill Magazine a series of four very remarkable papers which contain the main points of his attack upon the classical political economy and the mercentile morality it encouraged, and which he later put together in the volume cilled Unio This Last. The center of his attack was against the conception of the economic man and the ideas of wealth and value implied in that conception. His point, put briefly, was that while a theory of political economy hised upon such a conception might be made to conform to the prin

exples of logic, it had no more validity, as far as its appli eation to life is concerned, than a theory of gymnastics based upon the assumption that men have no skeletons The soulless economic man had no lessons, he contended, for the real human heing. The introduction of soul, of sentiment and human feeling, did not merely modify the results, it changed the problem altogether, as completely as the introduction of a skeleton would change the system of gymnastics The classical political economy, according to Ruskin, mistook altogether the significance of the actions it attempted to explain. The wealth of a man or of a nation does not proceed from what is sold but from what is consumed, value is not to be measured in terms of supply and demand but rather in life-producing power The end of the truly economic administration of the hody politie is the production of healths, happy life, which is the true wealth of individual or nation. Other games for other stakes might perhaps be played with the same cards, but no other game is worth the candle

The most important element in wealth, according to Rushin, is the moral element. Money and initerial goods give one power over labor only in proportion to the inequality of the distribution. At its greatest, such power is vastly inferior to the moral power of the affections. At true vision of the phenomen of business and labor shows the goal of human effort to be the search for life and for life more abundantly. We only falsify the facts when we interpret these efforts as a search for internal wealth. It is this false interpretation which has poisoned the sources of our well being and made money a curse rather than a blessing set society at earl war when the happiness of all men calls for peace.

We are not here concerned primarily with the sound ness, from an economic point of view, of Ruskin's posi tion In the details of his scheme one finds many as sertions which, from the point of view of common sense, seem highly doubtful and self-contradictory. He has not in any way worked out a complete system, probably could not have done so On the other hand, it is interesting to note that at least one man who has a right to speak in the name of the science of conomics asserts that the basis of Ruskin's protest is sound, that without seeing clearly all the details he did see clearly the fundamental significance of the old political economy, the direction in which it tended, and the real meaning of commercial life Whatever the effect of the work of Ruskin and Carlyle upon the actual principles of political economy, it would perhaps be admitted by most experts in that science that the point of view expresed in this protest must make a difference in the way in which those principles are held

This last is for our consideration of literature and sei ence the viril matter. The economic protest of Rushin and Carlylo is a striking example of the difference between the literary point of view and the scientific. It is almost an ideal illustration of Newman's theory of the in terrelation of the different departments of knowledge and the advantages, for the student of any particular one, of an outlook which will enable him to see it from above, to understand its relations to other subjects. The que tion is not so much one of the truth or error of any particular.

<sup>1</sup> Prof J A Hobson Cp his Iohn Pashin Social Reformer (1898), his Science of Wealth (Home University Library) and his edition of Unio This Lost (Ca sell)

set of conclusions as of the nature and extent of their validity, it is only from what we have been calling the liberal point of view that the student can see this in regard to his own knowledge and thus attain to the full est mastery of it

But the illustration here offered, upt and striking as it is, if pressed too far, may tend to be misleading. The effect of the liberal point of view upon a man's work in any one field is likely, in actual life, to manifest itself in a finer and subtler modification of his achievements, less casy to analyze or point out, but no less valuable in the He will not perhaps reorganize the body of knowl edge of his profusion, nor revolutionize its practice, but he will understand it more fully and practise it more wisely The physician who is also a reader of poetry, the literary man who is likewise a student of seience, will have his out look upon his own profession modified not in any abrupt and violent way subtly, in ways hardly noticeable even to hunself, the one will be restrained from the too implicit faith in science which is the undoing of so many able physicians will estimate a little more truly the human, the mental factor in each of the perplexing problems daily offered him, and the other will prune out in a more sober and orderly fashion the extravagances which are so often a blot upon the mo t glorious vision of la uts. By bein, first a better, a more complete man each is a better special ist, but the difference is one which only the knowing will perceive, not the difference between the bad and the good, but between the very good and the best berless minute and delicate wave the philosophical habit of mind the best and highest gift of the university will modify the views of her children, will make them better

individuals, will give them the peace and the serenity, the order and the sobricts, the lumility and the power, which are born of knowledge, which will make their lives a boon to secrety and a satisfaction to themselves

#### v

# THE HERO AS POET

By the term Hero, in Heroes and Hero II orship Carlyle means a leader of thought. In each of the various voca tions in which his hero appears he is always a man who sees more clearly than his fellows the conditions of life His power and his victory lie in the fact that his actions Men attribute a divine nature to are based on reality truth, and something of this divinity hedges round the seer who is the revealer of truth to his fellow men. It is because of this that in the earliest times the hero was considered to be a god and in all ages right ininded men have done well to pay him a respect akin to worship. As prophet and priest as poet and man of letters, as soldier and king the real power of the hero is an intellectual power, his guiding vision a vision of the meaning of life, and his mission to lead men to the truth History, as Carlyle reads it, is the story of the doings of the men who have labored successfully to entisfy this deepest of luman cravings-the desire for knowledge. It is this point of view which makes his chapters on the noet and man of letters likely to prove for the beginner, the most illuminating pieces of critici in in the language shall here deal only with his central point and that concenally in its relations to the ideas we have already derelored

In our study of Culture and Anarchy we laid special

emphasis on Arnold's three fold conception of culture. By culture Arnold means the search for perfection and he believes that mankind will advance toward at by developing three powers the power of intellect, the power to see and appreciate beauty, and the power of morality. Some times he groups the first and second together and calls them Hellenism, the third he sometimes calls Hebraism, and he declares that mankind can progress in spiritual matters only by a combination of these two great forces, Hebraism and Hellenism. Sometimes he calls intellect Light, and beauty Sweetness, but under whatever meta phorical or instorical form he speaks of them, he has the same three fold conception of mankinds ultimate good.

Carlyle in his chapter on the Helo as Poet seems to confuso and cut across Annold's distinctions. In the first place, Carlyle notices the affinity between the poet and the prophet. The word rades he points out meant both The vates prophet explained to in inkind the moral aspects of life he told men what to do. The vates poet was concerned with the beauty of the universe he trught men what to love. But in the end Carlyle says, these come to the same thing. "But indeed these two provinces run into one another. The prophet too, lies has ee on what we are to love, how clessfull he know what it is we use to do?" He implie, though he does not say it in so many words, that good conduct, the best conduct, is be untiful too, that true beauty is also good. In this way he seems to confuse Arnold's distinction between leasty and toroght.

In regard to the distinction between beauty and intellect Carlyle develops a thought similar to this. He does it in connection with poetrs, which he says is simply music, song. All speech has in it some element of song, accent is only the time to which a man sings his words. In speech about the deepest and highest things of life the element of song is always greater Everyone has felt many times how powerful emotion dignifies the speech of unlettered people Death, profound religious experience, the pres ence of a great m in or a great event, beautify and ennoble the words of the supplest man in just so far as he is sensi tive to them Song, Carlyle says, is the central essence of thues The Greeks fabled of Sphere Harmonies at was the feeling they had of the namer structure of Nature that the soul of all her voices and utterances was perfect music" The scientist, if he go deep enough, will find song, that is beauty, also Mathematics or chemistry or zoology or philology may seem to be merely a matter of the intellect at first but when the student reaches the heart of it, when he sees it in its real essence, he will see that it is beautiful, and in thinking of it and speaking about it, if he be equal to his task there will be music in his thought and in his speech-not meter nor rhyme but that majestie and austere harmons which soverns the opera tions of nature and reveals itself in her laws. It is mo t of all a min's sincerity and depth of vision which makes him a poet "See deep enough and you see musically the heart of Nature being everywhere music, if you can only reach it " From this point of view beauty depends on what might be called and what Carlyle does call power of intellect, and the second of Arnold's distinctions is broken down

This point of view of Carlyle's seems to be then that morality and intellect carried far enough end in beauty, that perfect life and great thought are beautiful as well A second conclusion, still more valuable to the student, may I think fairly be drawn from it though it is not stated in so many words It is that without morality and without intellect one does not reach real beauty This is in a word the point to our study so far. It is worth while to stop for a moment to consider what it means The student who is fortunate enough during his stay in college to acquire enthusiasin for some great and beautiful thing, some poetry, some painting some beauti ful sculpture, or great music, is probably destined to one disappointment in after life. Perhaps he acquires enthusiasin for this bool we are now reading, Heroes and Hero Il orship He finds comeone else who al o has enthusia-m for it and who thinks it just fine" or the "Lrandest book I ever read" He goes on to talk about it and is suddenly surprised to find that all the meaning which the hook has for him the other has missed entirely. that all the great questions in it which he has worked over the other has never thought about once, that the difficulties which he has struggled with the other has never attacked. He turns away in disgust feeling that this person does not know the book and his no right to love it It is not, or should not be, the result of educa tion to make one intolerant, but it should enable one, in connection with the things which one has studied, to distinguish the true from the filse, it should enable one to understand that two things are lacking in such an "appreciation" of Heroes and Hero Worship first, intellect, a clear understanding of what the hook says. and, second, morality, that is hone-ty, sincerity, and per swerance, which would have made the person careful not to pretend to see what he did not see, made him be sure that he agreed before he approved, and made him cour

ageously wrestle with the hard problems to the verv limit of his powers before giving them up. From this point of view the three "faculties" which are often thought of as separately concerned with the intellectual, the heautiful, and the moral, appear not sepirate but interlinked and dependent, one on the other, and any system of education should develop them together if it is to produce that symmetry which is the ideal of humane studies

It will be our task in the chapters following to apply these ideas to the study of poetry-and to the writing of themes It may seem startling to have the same prin ciples applied to the writing of a few themes that one would apply to the study of Shakespeare's plays Never theless they do apply writing in the one caso as in the other is a matter of seeing truth and honestly express ing it in words Only when expression is as nearly adequate as one can make it, is it entirely honest. The difference between the ordinary man and the genius lies first in what each sees in the world, and second in the degree to which each is faithful to his ideas Too much cannot be said against that idea of writing, so common in the teaching of English composition, which makes it merely a matter of joggling with words, a trick to be acquired without much reference to the idea beneath the words No one can teach a student to write in this way, no one can give him a style better than his ide is deserve It is one of the most merciful facts in the universe that this is so, otherwise the greatest power and influence imaginable could be given to the man who is not fit to u e it Imitations are plentiful, many of them seem to be successful, but sooner or later the keenest

and truest minds find them out The conception of style which existed in the mind of the Persian letter writer about which Newman speaks will not work Good writing is a matter of good thinking. The writer's task is to use his intellect, to see into the truth of things. and to express his ideas as exactly as he can. In doing this last lies endless difficulty, and perhaps every man is doomed to a certain degree of failure. It is a task well worth years of painstaking labor for the man who has something to say But it is a task which is not to be begun until one has ideas to express. Not everyone who works at the task faithfully and wisely and honestly will become a good writer, but he will do as well as he ought, as well as his ideas deserve "if you see deep enough you will see musically " But to some extent every honest sincere person will see the real things of life and his expression of them will be valuable to some people It may only he to his family in letters, or to his friends, or to the pupils he is teaching, but to say the truth to

anybody is worth while

### VΤ

## LITERATURE AND EDUCATION

In the foregoing chapters we have been trying to get some clear notions as to the nature and meaning of education and the part which the study of literature may play in it. The purpose of this one is to sum up in a connected way what we have worked out—not particularly to advocate the study of literature but rather to point out what kind of value may be expected from it, what its function is

The student who has understood the essays of Newman which we have just heen reading will have a clear conception of two or three ideas which we may now receptually the first of these is the relation of knowledge to information. Education is something more than storing the memory with facts. The essential part of it is assimilating these facts, reasoning about them fitting them together, perceiving their relations and their significance. Only when this is done do they give power Man's memory is short, most of what he learns he forgets quickly unless he has occasion to use and review it constantly. On the other hand the reasoning power remains and this power is the mark of the educated man

Accertheless the acquirement of information is abouted necessary. The student will never learn to think without facts nor will insthoughts be of any value unless this basis of fact is wide and accurate. He will never

go far unless he takes advantage of more than his own observation will yield. The results of other men's observation, stored up in books, arringed into orderly departments of knowledge, must be required by the student of his thought is to have is much range and validity as is at present possible.

The end and purpo e of education then is to train the intellect and judgment by means of information in order that it may really possess the information may see its value and understand its significance. This is the meaning of what Newman calls chlargement of the mud, call tare, tiberal knowledge, of the philosophical habit of mind

Theoretically all that has been said is as true of professional as of liberal education. The best professional man will have the same trained intellect, the same broadview, the same understanding of the limitations and relations of the various sections the same sainty of judgment, the same wide expacts for sympathy and cu joyment, as will the gentleman. Unless he has this breadth and power of intellect he will not be able to master his professional knowledge but will be rather mastered by it.

This is only a formulation of the principles which are being followed more and more closely every day in the requirements of our best professional schools. It is this ideal which makes the attainment of a good education in law or medicine so formidable at present as regards the amount of time and money required. We have already noticed that Newman's idea is somewhat different He seems to beheve that the attainment of liberal knowledge is not so much a matter of the curriculum, of what specific subjects are studied, as of how they are studied,

from what point of view Apparently he believes that the liberal minded professor of law or of engineering will treat his subject not interly from its own level but from above, will look upon it not as the main part of the world of knowledge hit will see it in its true relation to other subjects and so teaching it will impart to his students not a narrow but rather a broad and liberal point of view. Whether this be possible or not we need not try to decide. Certain it is however that in the world one meets many men who have acquired liberal knowledge from a technical school, or from subsequent reading, or from no school or university whatever, while one finds many who, perhaps even looking for it, have missed it in a college of liberal arts.

Real education gives a man the power to act wisely by enabling him to understand as many as possible of the elements of a given situation. It involves having what is often called a Philosophy of Life, not learned or borrowed but thought out and made one's own. It means that the individual has some solution, the best that he can make, of the Riddle of the Universe, some notion of the meaning of life to him. Only this can give a man principles on which to act, only this can give a man principles on which to act, only this can give a man of his solution, we must beheve, will be the sureness and consistency and power and rightness of his actions. According to the measure of this will his aims he intelligible, and will he have in his hife confidence and peace.

It does not necessarily follow that the material offered by the university and the discipline imposed by her are the best possible for attaining this right solution. The aim of the university is to enable men to understand these things, all our sciences are attempts at solutions, the best that great men could make, and the scriousness of the character of the university and the sanctity and reverence (deserved or not) in which her efforts have for ages been held are due to the fact that this has been her goal—to understand the laws of man's evistence and the meaning of his life

So much for the nature and end of education whether liberal or useful Looked at theoretically or examined practically, in proportion to their excellence the distinction between the two disappears. The best professional training is also liberal, it is only inadequate professional training—not training but only the acquirement of half under stood and half true rules of thumb—which is servile and narrowing in its character, which marks out its possessor as emphatically not a gentleman. The end of any education is to enable a man to conceive for himself aims worth while and so to cultivate his powers as to enable him to realize them. Training which does this is education, any other is not

Now a few words as to what may be called the materials or subject matter of education. Of these there are two sorts, first, the record of man's observation, what we call facts or information, second, the record of his thought. The two are marly always found together, practically any book one will ever read contains both, the subject matter of every department of knowledge is a combination of the two. Each is at once a possession and a stimulus Mastering information involves understanding how it is acquired and hence the ability to acquire more for oneself. The student does not understand another man's thought.

until he tlanks it for himself, which makes of it something The mind must be continually active, observant, thoughtful, questioning, inquiring, exploring for itself This does not mean that the student should impudently set lumself up as arbiter over the great thinkers of the past The thoughts of great men, of all men, are to be treated not slippantly but with reverence, the judgment is to be sus pended until one can go over the whole ground, but in the end nothing is true for him except what he himself can thinl The reflection may be on him and not on the con clusion in question, but that does not clining matters By his own intellect and his own thoughts he must stand or full, he cannot borrow another's and use them as his own unless he can think them, that is understand them and believe them, himself A reat man's thought which he ennuot understand cannot be his, he eannot use it, it is not true for him It is the bow of Ulysses which he cannot bend. One of the things which education should do is to teach a man his place and give him humility and rescrence toward the things he has not This is one of the things which Mark Twain represents as done in the heren visited by Capt Storinfield, it is certainly one of the ways of causing the Kingdom of God to come upon carth

We come now to the question of the place of literature in education. Literature is a record one of the fullest and most adequate that we posse s, of man's thought about life. It contains information but the information it contains is not what makes it literature. The literary quality is the thought in it the perception of significance and the adequate expression of this thought. The information

contained in literature is often of the simplest sort, but just in proportion as the literature is great and valuable the perception of significance (what Arnold called the entities of life) in it is deep and just and true

Shakespeare's play, Hamlet conveys to us little in formation, and that only indirectly. The value of the play is not in the things it tells us so much as in the thoughts it gives rise to in us. The play is at once a picture of the moral and intellectual loveliness of human kind, the power of mind, the graciousness of character of which man is capable, and at the same time a pitiful and terrible picture of the operation of those relentless laws of our existence which allow such splendid qualities to be paralyzed by depression and wasted in bopeless struggle against circumstances with which the man's very nobility and thoughtfulness bave rendered him powerless to cope The greatness of the play lies in the way in which it portrays the conditions governing man's progress and ad vancement, its power lies in the fact that it reveals a tragic element not merely of Hamlet's world but of the world of every man who is striving to advance toward moral and intellectual ideals. It comes home to us all if we take it seriously and think about it. The thoughts we think about it, our interpretations of it, are many and different and they belong to us The value of the play is the value of all great literature, that it stimulates and inspires these thoughts

The province of Interature in education is to stimulate thought, and especially that finer form of thought which we know by the name of imagination, to the end that one may measure, may weigh life as in a balance and find out what is good. Herein lies its value to education, here

lies the liberalizing tendency which has always and rightly been attributed to it

The subject matter of literature is broad, it is concerned with all that is most important to man, all knowl
edge in its bearing upon life, all questions of act and
conduct, the most trivial and the deepest. It is not confined to love stories and to outdoor nature, though these
play a large part, the sure instinct of the poets and of
the rice realizing their importance. To study it rightly
is to learn to look at life beneath the surface, to separate
the valuable from the worthless, the noble from the ignoble
clements the good from the bid, the beautiful from the
ugh. To study it rightly is to learn the reliness and
fullues of life, its grandeur and its jos, its pitiful mean
mess and narrowness and vaints, its sublime and terrible
trageds.

In study it rightly is to have one's eves opened to these things. What is the right way to study it? One sentence will do for an answer. That is, to think as you read. This means one should read a poem using the same care and concentration that one would find nece ears in the circ of a piece of difficult procetograph all that it has to my all that is implied the height and depth, the breadth

and fullness of the poets idea

It is it ing the word thought in a wide sen e when our says that thought can do this. It includes all the powers of a man's mind by which he perceives meaning in the world his imagination and feelings as well as his reason grall the I owers y hich operate not singly but together to I reduce every work of intellect worths of the name

We often divide the product of the mind into two

clas es and say of the one, this conclusion I reached by rea oning the matter out, and of the other, that idea came to me intuitively, I knew that by intuition. Some truths we arrive at by logic, others seem to come of their own accord, horne on the wings of the imagination and the feelings. It is very common to think of literature as the product of the second of the e two powers alone, the expression of the feelings, the imagination, the intuitions. There is in the minds of some people a certain contempt for literature and the fine arts based on the notion that reason and logic, what are thought of as the sounder and more intelligent powers of the mind, are very little concerned in their production.

One is told by those who understand psychology that the tendency of that science at the present time is to take tho position (which seems unquestionably to be suggested hy reason and common sense) that this division of the mind into parts, reason on the one side and intuition and feelings on the other is false, that a man's mind is essen tially a unit, that what we call different powers act to gether, the reason supplementing the emotions and the emo tions the reason, so that one's opinion on a given subject (if it is a real opinion) is the product of one's whole mind, not merely of a part of it. It is certain that all great literature contains both logic and feeling, both reason and intuition As far as the understanding of poetry is con cerned ability to think clearly in the sense in which that ability is required for mathematics or science is no less important than capacity to feel intensely It is the neglect of this principle which betrays so many students into that sentimentality and formalism which are the undoing of so much of our study of English literature

In this connection one may make a second statement closely related to the preceding, -that in order to excel in any real sense in mathematics or science or any closely logical subject the student will need to employ not merely his reason, his logical faculty, but also the same kind of feeling and intuition which are necessary in order to under stand poetry A fine mechanic, running or caring for a delicate machine, will show a certain feeling for the individuality of the machine, a certain knack or tact in allowing for its peculiarities, which is not logic but in tuition A great doctor or surgeon is likely to have this faculty developed to an extraordinary degree A great scientific investigator has a feeling for the significance of an experiment or the form of a hypothesis which is apparently much like the artist's feeling that a certain line or color or word is right The feelings and intuitions have their place in science just as reason and logic have theirs in literature

When one says that literature is the record of man's thought about life one must use the word thought in this wide "ense as including both the reasoning power and those powers which we name variously as the imagination, the feelings, or the intuitions

The main thesis in this book is that the function of literature in education is to stimulate thought, to make one think more deeply about life, to understand more of its meaning and significance. It was first necessary to make this clearer by explaining at some length the meaning of thought as so used. It is now necessary to say a little more about the second part of the proposition, what we

bave called the end of literature, seeing more of the meaning and significance of life

All men of an inquiring turn of mind, who have some measure of intellectual power, who are trying to search out for themselves the meaning of things, fall into one of three classes their aspiration is either to find out what is true, or what is good, or what is beautiful. The scientist is a type of the first, he is seeking to find out the truth The preacher is a type of the second, he is seeking to find out what is good, his calling is to teach religion or morality The artist is a type of the third, he is seeking for beauty. Literature is an art and its aim is the aim of the third class which I have mentioned -to find out and to portray beauty What we call literary genius is first of all the ability which some men have in a high degree to perceive beauty, and second the ability to portray what they see The two powers make up "the vision and the faculty divine" The most important and the rarest thing is the ability to see it-the vision

Now it will occur to everyone that hy far the larger number of persons in the world do not intend to become artists, and that in most of the situatious which confront a man in his life the important thing for him to know is what is true from what is false or what is right from what is wrong. It may seem a matter of little importance to be able to tell what is beautiful from what is ugly. The first two things will appear valuable and necessary, the third a pleasant but on the whole superfluous luxury. If this be so the training which literature offers, so far as this aspect of it is concerned, is to be put in the same class with n taste for good wine or tobacco or horses or pretty clothes—well enough in its way but not a

part of the serious business either of this world or the next

There is a common triek of our speech which will suggest and illustrate the point of view here taken in regard to this idea. If a student has been haffled by a proposition in geometry and finally, in despair of a solution, has turned to a friend with greater ability or more experience, and has seen his friend by some elever and masterful manipulation of the figure, by going perhaps a great way round, by calling in propositions which seemed at first to have no connection with this one, and by arranging all in orderly progression, at length arrive at the solution, he has justly been filled with admiration. How does he express his admiration? The solution arrived at its true, he sees that, but he says more than that. It has extraor dinary merit, and to describe that he is likely to say, That was a beautiful demonstration.

A second instance of a different sort. One wi hes to describe the life of a friend who was good not in the narrow sense of being faithful to ordinary dintes but who has done for others not merely what could have been expected but, in wise and wonderful ways, more and better things than they knew how to ask for Sueb a person is good in a way that few know how to be good, and one s comment is. That was a beautiful life

The e examples illustrate the curious way in which the true, the good, and the beautiful are blended in our ordinary ideas. What is true in the widest and deepest sen e we feel is too fine to be described by a word which we use for what is true only in narrow and limited wars and we call it not merely true but beautiful. What is good in the bighest degree we feel is too rare to be described.

by a word used for a man who only keeps the command ments and we call it not merely good but beautiful. These are illustrations, not proofs, of an idea, heretofore devel oped, which is that truth and goodness in their linghest forms are beautiful. This is only another way of saving that the man who is to reach the deepest truth or the high est virtue must have in his soul the instinct for beauty. In a very real sense the works of such a man, though done in the field of science or inorality, are art as well. The words beautiful, true, and good describe virtues phases which unite to make up the total significance of things. Without the sense for all three and the sense of their kinship one's view of life, one's idea of truth, of beauty, or of morality is narrow and incomplete.

Many men approach the study of literature from another angle. They say, and find grave authorities for the state ment, that art is play, they seek in hierature annisement and pleasure, they occupy themselves in enjoying the grace and elegance and deliciousness of the inusical language of poetry, in sporting among a thousand delicite fantaces, in marking the neat and elever or the majestic and sublime effects which can be produced by words. Such a study would seem to many students more profitable than the one we are making, and perhaps nearer the truth. They have been taught that the end of literature is pleasure, not instruction, and they believe in consequence that it is vant to attempt to give it a serious place in education.

Over and over again the statement has been made that the end of the greatest literature is pleasure, not instruction, and this statement has occasioned endless perplexity in the minds of tho e who have not understood it. Un-

questionably there are many books from which one derives amusement and practically nothing else, and they are not to be despised on that account, but they are not a part of our greatest literature There are two comments on the subject, apparently opposite, really consistent, which will suggest what is the true position. The first is by Dr Furnivall, from Furnivall and Munro's Life of Shakes peare "The revised doctrine that the main object of poetry is to please amuse seems to me too contemptible to be discust I don't believe the mere wish to please ever produced anything better than toys" Another from Wordsworth's "Preface" to the Lyrical Ballads "Nor let this necessity of producing immediate pleasure be con sidered as a degradation of the Poet's art. It is far otherwise It is an acknowledgment of the beauty of the universe, an acknowledgment the more sincere, because not formal, but indirect, it is a task light and easy to him who looks at the world in the spirit of love further, it is a homage paid to the native and naked dignity of man, to the grand elementary principle of pleasure, by which he knows, and feels, and lives and moves"

Between the two the question is merely verbal and the point is thus the husiness of the poet is to say the most serious and the truest things about life that his divine vision reveals to him. His business is to tell us the truth, to show us the way of life. But serious men have found and always do find, in the pursuit and contemplation of such idees the highest type of plenure. There is no annement that does not pall at length and leave us restless and unstatisfied. The tolloone pursuit of knowledge seems to be the one thing in which man finds deep and raduring satisfaction. Of all his appetites this is the deepest rooted,

and of all his occupations this seems most worth while If one understands pleasure in this high sense, the end of poetry is pleasure. If not, it is nearer the truth to save that its end is to instruct

The role of literature in education is not contemptible from the standpoint of intellect rather it calls forth in its interpretation the best powers of man's mind. In on templating the beauty of the world and of man's life it does not work in opposition to his desires for truth and for righteousness, but rather points out the meaning of both. Its purpose is not primarily to furnish annusement for an idle hour, but to mike plain our paths before us, to show us the way of life. That it gives pleasure, in so doing is no reflection upon its vorth or importance, but rather, as Wordsworth says, "in acl nowledgment of the beauty of the universe.

and naked dignity of man"

## VII

# WORDSWORTH

It is a fact about Wordsworth which all readers of him have felt and most writers about him have commented on that his works are a challeng. He wanted no ad mirers who were not disciple, and it is true that men who have the contrage of their convictions usually like him intensely or not at all. This challenge to the reader is put directly or implied in most of his poems and pro a works, the following statement of it from the thirteenth book of the Prelude will do as well as any

Darest Frien!

If thou partiake the animating faith
That Locis even as Prophets each with each
Connected in a might scheme of truth
Have erich his own peculiar faculty
Hervens gift a sense that fits him to perceive
Objects unseen before thou with not bilime
The humblest of this band who dares to hope.
That unto him hath also been vouchvifed
An in gift that in some sort he posse sea
A privilegy whereby a work of hi
Lroceding from a source of untanght things
Creative and enfuring may I ame
A power like one of Natures.

He comes to us with an insight of his own with new tidings which in all calmine's and humility he believes will make

his works become a power to be compared to the mighty winds, the flowing waters, or the life giving light of the sum. The reader who will meet the poet on his own terms need not worry about Romanticism, need not trouble about style nor poetic method, nor even poetic diction—he need only find out what the source of this insight is, what visions it reveals to Wordsworth, and then make up his mind what validity the poet's tidings have for him fo direct the student in doing this, to put him in the way of doing it for himself, is the aim of this chapter

In the Prelude Wordsworth tells us the dramatic story of how his poetic vision came to him, it is the history of his intellectual life up to the age of twents-eight. He seems to have been a normal boy, fond of sports, a lover of the woods and fields but with no very transcendental or poetic passion, fond of reading with as yet no idea of the conflict between books and nature which such utterances as the Matthew Poems have caused men to connect too insistently with his name. The four years from seventeen to twenty-one he spent at the University of Cambridge where he did well enough but not brilliantly. His last lon, vacation he spent on a walking tour in Switzerland In the two journeys across France he became interested in the Revolution which had broken out the year before and with which, in a more or less unreflecting manner, he was in hearty sympathy

In 1701 he took his degree of B 1 and left the university with no plans for the immediate future. His parents were dead and the money they left him had barely sufficed for his education. His guardians urged that he choose some profe ion which would insure him a live-lihood, but this Wordsworth stubbornly in fit ed to do

Finally, however, partly yielding to this pressure, partly following his own inclination, he left for a period of residence in France, ostensibly to learn the language well enough to teach it The Revolution speedily absorbed all his thoughts and interests At Blois, under the influence (one might almost say the instruction) of Michel Beaupuy, a brilliant example of all that was most thoughtful and most noble in the revolutionary party, he came to see in the cause a deeper meaning and to support it with all the idealistic enthusiasm of his nature. To him it was a movement that was to destroy forever the monster of tyrannous oppression and to give to the down trodden and the starving their rights to life and freedom. But it was to do more than this It was to create a new society which would foster and develop the godhke strength and beauty which its votaries saw in every human soul In this new age the ordinary man, no longer warped and ridden down by injustice, by war, by avarice, by ignorance and hy false ideals, would far outstrip the heroes of the ages gone by The deity who should effect this transformation was the goddess of Reason Once the old was destroyed, the new society was to be erected by ber laws, to follow no commands but hers, to have no religion but her service. Lifted to an ecstasy of hope and joy the poet, in common with thousands of the best minds of France awaited with con fidence the coming of her era

> O pleasant exercise of hope and joyl For mighty were the auxiliars which then stood Upon our side, us who were strong in love! Bliss was it in that dawn to be alire But to be young was very Heyven! O times In which the meager stale forbidding ways



famous Enquiry Concerning the Principles of Political Justice and from this book, the bible of the Inglish sympathizers in the Revolution, Wordsworth tried to work out for himself the philo ophy of reason which he believed was the key to truth. The whole furtastic structure of Godwin's political theory was billed on the principle that nothing was to be believed which could not be demonstrated by reason and logic. This principle Wordsworth attempted to make the rule of his thought Immediately he plunged into a ser of perplexities and doubts, he was non unable to tell what he did or did not believe and true and fall c became only names which carried with them no sense of conviction. He had in his soul an inten e craving for knowledge and faith, no distractions could full this craving, no authority could atisfy it with a colution not cauctroped by his own thought. The result was that he sank into despondency and pessinism that cold and yet acute suffering which comes with the malady of thought un satisfied the ense that the universe is a pathless waste and the ways of God pa t finding out

Dragging all precepts judgment maxims creels. The cultrits to the bar calling the mind. So prefound: I establish in thin try. Her titles and her through each of the try. Now in helt ring called by perplex 1. With impulse roother updated at wir or the ground of obligation what the rate and whence. The san torus till bernarding from a proof and seeing it in every tileg 1 bed. All forling of one brid in and in for the called one with each in the said of the said whence the world one with each in the said. We arised one with each time the said. Perlock VI (2002)

Though worked out in terms of political thought, Words worth's pessimism was in reality due to his disappointment in his search for knowledge, the sick and weary sense of conflict with problems of life too mysterious for the human mind to solve, or, worse yet, incapable of solution, the sense of living in a world which is a moral chaos where nothing is but matter and where all things of the spirit are but mocking shadows Except for the Borderers which is an eloquent picture of his moral despair, he stopped writing poetry He lost his feeling for the love lmess of Nature, trying to reason about her beauties "by rules of mimic art transferred to things above all art," they turned to bitterness in his soul Instead he took refuge in science, in that most exact and abstract science of all-mathematics-seeling somewhere for truth which would compel acceptance and would form a solid founda tion for his thought Me in while poor, with few friends, without occupation, cut off from the society of his sister (he had been forbidden her guardian's house pending his choice of a career), he had every sort of external cause to mererse his despendency

At the beginning of 1705 a friend of Wordsworth's, Rusley Calvert, died, leaving him a legrey which, though small was enough to make him independent. With it he was able to settle down with his sister Dorothy in the autumn of the same year at Racedown in Dorsetshire to a quiet and very frugal life. Soon after he met Coleridge, of whom he gradually saw more and more until in July 1707 the Wordsworths moved to Alforden, two miles from the home of Coleridge at Nether Stower, in order that the two poets might be together. During these years of quiet and peace, under the sympathetic and cheerful in

fluence of his sister and stimulated by the eager intellect of Coleridge, Wordsworth worked out the solution of bis intellectual difficulties. Some men under the same our cumstances, misled by the abuse of nnalysis, would have ended by denying the validity of all thought, would have sought for guidance only in feeling, no matter how animal and irrational, or would have become slowly ab orbed in comfortable commerce with the material things of life Wordsworth did none of these Loo tennerous and too spiritual to give up the problem, too moderate and too same to fall under the mere domination of the feelings, he worked out his theory of the imagination as a perceptive power. He did not reject the intellect, instead he widehed its scope By imagination he meant the power of seeing behind the external shows of things their real significance, their beauty. All men see with the bodily eye the things which nature and man spread out before them, mountain plain, and stream deeds of kindness or of hate-the complex precant of life But only the man with imagination looks behind, sees and understands the meaning of the objects which to most men are meaningless A thousand men pass a certain spot daily and see nothing remarkable about it A painter makes a picture of it, portraying and explaining the meaning, the beauty, which he alone perceives in it and the thousand understand and marvel that they had been so blind A thousand men see daily some familiar phenomenon of nature. The scientist sees it, connects it with other apparently unrelated facts, grasps finally its real menning and the thousand under stand, marveling they had not understood before In the one case and in the other we have an exercise of the imagination as Wordsworth defined it. It is not the power

69

of creating what never existed before, nor of seeing wild and fantastic resemblances, the face of a man in the moon It is rather the power of seeing reality, the eye of the mind which sees what the bodily eye cannot, an auxiliar light which invites clear what is otherwise confused and meaningless. It does what Newman conceived to be the function of reason, only Wordsworth carries it further, past the limitations and fetters of logic, out of the matters of everyday into the domains of the spirit, up to the heights from which man sees the ultimate problems of life and nature, face to face with God

> Imagination which in truth Is but another name for absolute power And clearest insight amplitude of mind And Reason in her most exalted mood

For Wordsworth there was no pleasure in life comparable to the pleasures of the imagination. When he east that the end of poctry is pleasure one must remember his many statements that the highest pleasure comes from knowledge, from thought. Imagination and love, thought and pleasure, are for him inseparably connected.

Imagination basing been our theme
So also hath that intellectual Love
For they are each in each and cannot stand
Dividually.\*

For him "Beauty is truth, truth beauty" in a clearer sense perhaps than for Kerts Poetry is a vision of the world as it really is It is only a tribute to the gracious

<sup>4</sup> I relude XIV, 189 92

composition of the universe and of man's own nature to say that this knowledge begins in love and ends in pleasure

So much for Wordsworth's faculty of vision. What is it that he saw? What kind of a innerse is it that is reverled to him hy his poetic imagination? There is no answer to this question but his poetry, and of his poetry especially that of his most inspired years, from 1798 to 1815. In the fragment of the Recluse—that glorious table of contents of all that he hoped to write—he gives in a few lines the kernel of it. In the first place this poet of nature tells us that all that he writes ipplies directly to the mind of man,

the Mind of Man My haunt and the main region of my song

The beautiful out door world of nature is to Wordsworth a revelation of a divinity, a meaning (one searches for a word, non theological, not Christian, and yet religious in the highest sense, for in his most inspired poetry. Words worth is a prophet of God rather than a Christian), which is at once outside man's nature and yet akin to something within. It is the attempt of all his greatest poetry to grasp and explain this connection. He hints at it in the Recluse.

while my voice proclaims
How evquisitely the individual Mind
(And the progressive powers perhaps no less
Of the whole species) to the external World
Is fitted—and how equivately too—
Theme this lut little heard of among men—
The external World is fitted to the Vind.<sup>7</sup>

A hundred pas ages in his poetry illustrate the spiritual meaning which the beautiful and the sublime in nature had for him. In the Lines Composed a Few Miles above Tintern Abbey he puts more clearly perhaps than in any other single passage, the kinship of this divinity without to thit within man's soil

And I take felt
A presence that did it has a considered thoughts a see so sublume
Of something far nore deeple a terfored
Whose delling is the light to setting sums
and the round occurs and the lising sur
And the blue sky and in the mind of man
A motion and a spirit that impels
All think ng things all objects of all thought
And rolls it rough all things

This imaginative perception this faith (for the two terms are identical) had for Wordsworth the power of transmuting pain and sorrow into strength. He speaks of heutiv and of first as working to the \*nme end in the development of his mind. At the end of the story of Margiret in the first Book of the Excursion the Wanderer says (is Word worth fir t wrote it)

I will remember it at the every pl mes. Those weeds and it le high spear grass on that wall By mist and si hit rum drops is I eved over. As once I passed it do my leart convey. So still an image of tranquillity. So calm and still and looked so beautiful. Am dithe uneasy thoughts which filed my mind. That what we feel of sorro and despair.

Tintern Abbey 93 109

From rum and from change and all the grief That passing shews of Being leave behind Appeared an idle dream that could not live Where meditation was I turned away And walked along my road in hyppings.

It is something of this idea evidently that he is trying to express in those difficult lines of the twelfth book of the Prelude

When in the blessed hours of early love the loved one at my side I roamed in daily presence of this stem Upon the naked pool and drevry crags And on the melancholy beacon fell A spirit of pleasure and youth a golden gleam And think ye not with radiance more sublime. For these remembrances and for the power They had left behind? So feeling comes in aid of feeling and diversity of strength Attends us if hut once we have been strong

The greatest poetry has this in common with all great thought, be it science, philosophy, or religion, that it is an expression of faith. No one of them can be produced without imagination, no one of them can be understood or can be true except for him who apprehends it by the aid of the same faculty in his own mind. In the presence of a great body of poetic thought such as a contained in the works of Wordsworth one feels the futility of any analysis of technique, of any historical criticism, until the central idea which he was trying to express his first been

1 Prelude \11 26171

<sup>\*</sup>Excursion I 342.54 The version usually printed is the result of alterations made in 1845 and may be taken as the expression of the way in which Wordsworth identified the poetic faith of his early life with the Christianity of his later years

understood Here is something to be laid hold of by meditation, to be tried by thought, to be taken by each for its value to him towards the solution of that mystery of life which each must solve for himself

which each must solve for himself
All this is not philosophy but poetry. They are made
of the same life-stuff (to use a phrase of Bradley's), but
the connections are underground. Wordsworth's poetical
creed is not susceptible of translation without loss into
philosophy or science. It must be read in his own words
and understood from the point of view from which it was
written. Yet poets, philosophers, and scientists, with all
their differences, ire attacking the same ultimate problem,
with the same powers of mind human the one and the
other, and infinitely stimulating and suggestive the one to
the other. Hence the value of Wordsworth's poetry to the
student who aspires to a liberal education.

#### VIII

# POPE

Wordsworth's life was led in retirement and his poetry was the product of solitary thought. He deals with society, it is true, but his opinions are those of a recluse about a life of which he is hardly a part. Pope affects in many poems to look upon the world from the same point of view, but as a matter of fact his interests and his real life were bound up with society and his most characteristic work is the artificial expression of an artificial mode of existence

When Pope about 1706, then a young man of eighteen, set out to make his fortune in hiterature, that profession was in a very prosperous condition, due to the generous patronage of the statesmen and politicians of the day. These men were, many of them scholars and judges of hiterature, and, what was more important, good writers were a party necessity. The result was prosperity in the shape of pensions and of offices, which were often succures amounting to the same thing, for those members of the literary profession who would use their talents in the support of one party or the other.

Almost every literary man of the time profited by this patronage. It was bestowed in no vulgar or britial way, there was no demand for falsehood or underland dealing nothing to suggest bribery. A literary man wrote on the political subjects which but ied the pens of all his fellows.

Pope 75

his work was noticed and rewarded by the leaders of his party when opportunity gave them the power by such favors as they deemed it to deserve. This patronage was often generous in a high sense statesmen supported literary men who were too old to work, they educated promising boys, occasionally they conferred favors upon a man to keep him neutral, and instances are not lacking of pensions given by one party to a man whose hife had been spent in the service of the other. The author is mide subservient to the man of affairs but he was courteously treated and confined in a gilded eig.

It is not the least of Pope's literary achievements, and no small proof of the way in which he understood the social conditions of his time, that he made the greatest fortune of any writer of his day while maintaining his political independence and keeping entirely aloof from the political hack work upon which his fellows depended for their existence. The vogue of literature among the wealthier classes at the beginning of the eighteenth cen turs made the publishing business, for the first time in its history, profitable on some such scale as it is to-day The result was a rapid increase in the remuneration paid by publishers to author. While he was still almost an unknown youth Pope received from Tonson a flattering letter asking for any poems which he Pope, might care to print Half a-dozen years later Pope nudertook, in conjunction with Tonson's rival I intot, a translation of Homer on terms much more liberal than any publisher had dreamed of allowing an author before. The work occupied the years from 1715 to 1726. Pone receiving for it in all about \$45,000, a sum sufficient to make him in dependent for life.

Meanwhile Pope kept on intimate terms with prominent men of both parties, and he was skillful enough to keep them interested in his position without declaring for one side or the other. The result was that when, under the Georges and the Whigs, political patronage began to decline, he had nothing to fear. The history of Pope's worldly success is one evidence of the way in which he understood his age in poetry his success was no less remarkable, and we must turn now to those works which made him at once the intellectual dictator of his age and perhaptible best interpreter of that age to future generations.

Pope has left us his idea of the organization of tho universo and his theories of literature in two carefully elaborated poems A reader of the present day will pretty certainly find both poems disappointing he will see in them only ideas which are fantastic and abourd on the one hand, or drearily commonplace on the other Both show Pope's lack of capacity for sustained logical thought. and the sinug narrowness of his outlook on life readily suggest the idea that if literature is an expression of thought, if a man must be a thinker in order to be a poet, then Pope was not a poet but only a clever versifier Yet one must remember that thousands of men of sen o and taste have derived intellectual stimulus and pleasure from his works. While the opinions of others cannot determine the value of Pope's poetry to us it is only reason able that we should, in our study of him, try to see what it is in him which others have found worthy of approval It will not do to say that he was n poor thinker but

a elever versifier and to attribute his reputation to that He has not gained his readers by juggling nonsense svilla Рорь 77

bles into heroic couplets. The aptness of his verse is only an expression of the aptness of his thought the value of that thought is the value of his poetry. If we can establish this, the question whether his thought is of sufficient importance to earn for him the name of poet may be left to each individual or to the delitting societies.

The Essay on Van the central scheme of which is Pope's only in the sense that he accented it and attempted to promulgate at (the man outlines he oned, as he reknowl edged, to his friend Bolin\_hroke), is in argument justi fying the universe as it is The world is God's handiwork, Pope argues, and He is perfect therefore it must be right Man is so imperfect that it is not his place to criticise it, that some things do not seem to him perfectly arranged is due to the narrowness of the human point of view, if he will only reflect a little he will see that many things which appear wrong are really necessary to the perfect plan of the whole For example, man's very imperfection is suited to the particular place he occupies in the scale of being, which extends in an unbroken chain from the lowest forms of life to the luchest Were man more perfect than he is he would be unsuited to his place, the chain would be luoken, and the plan of the whole marred

From man's imperfections, again, arise what good he is capable of Self lote (a term which Pope uses as expressing the sum of all the passions), which unrestrained products all the evil in his nature, when controlled by reason produces all the good. The passions of rulers in conflict with those of the ruled result in common justice. Man seeking his own good finds the good of his fellows necessary to it, so that in the cind enlightened self love is the love of all monthed.

Happiness depends not upon position or perfection, but upon content True virtue implies that man should neither rise to the level of the gods nor sink to that of the beasts, but that he should act well his part as a man. The self love of all men drives them to seek happiness. Their reason tells them that only in virtue, so defined, is it to be found, so that, in the end, self love, the principle which is responsible for all the waywardness of mankind, is responsible also for all the varieties.

Pope shows us man forever bound to imperfection, his mind such a confusion that real knowledge is impossible, his happiness lying in contentinent with what he has, rither than in the striving for what is beyond bim. Most of the things man loves are more vanity, but he is gifted with a merciful blindness to this fact. Upon his ignorance and delusion are based such happiness as he can achieve. To us this may sound like Macbeth's bitter ery of disillusion ment.

To motron and to morrow and to morrow Creeps in this petty pace from day to day
To the list syllable of recorded time
And all our yesterdays have lighted hols
The way to dusty death Out out brick candlel
Lafe a but a walking shidow a poor phyler
That struts and frets his hour upon the stage
And then is heard no more. It is a tile
Told by an idnot full of sound and fury
Signifying nothing

The difference is that what is to Macbeth's ardent nature, stretching out to grasp a kingdom, the last vision of despair, is to Pope, sitting in his smug garden, the basis of a philosophy of content

Macbeth V v

POPE 7872

Pope's idea of human nature is nearly the reverse of Wordsworth's To the one man is petty and impotent to the other a being in whose soul dwells the glory of the ocean and the sky and all beautiful illimitable things Pope would have him remain content. Wordsworth finds him nothing except when he can rise above his ordinary self.

Pope's gospel of content is not very congenial to a generation like our own, which has for the central point in its religion a faith in a spiritual evolution which is not to cease until man is the equal of the gods-a generation which glorines discontent and would seek progress even in heaven. Besides our natural hostility to his ideas we find in his poem logical absurdities which make it im possible to follow him or even to tale his system as a whole seriously He assumes that God is perfect in an argument designed to justify the ways of God to min, and the assumption is the hasis of his proof. He tells us that man is too blind and ignorant to judge God's work, which makes us ask how he can presume to commend But what is true of the whole is not true of the parts Reading the poem couplet by couplet (as Pope wrote it) we find in a hundred places flashes of Leen common sense and shrewd observation which go straight to the mark and impress us instantly with their truth

> Worth makes the man and want of it the fellow The rest is all but leather and pruncila What can ennoble sots or slaves or cowards? Alas! not all the blood of all the Howards A Wits a feather and a Chief's a rod

A Wits a feather and a Chief's a rod An honest Man's the noblest work of God\*

<sup>\*</sup> Essay on Man IV 203-4 215 6 and 247 8

Any dictionary of quotations will supply bundreds of other examples, savings which are used daily by people who never read Pope and probably do not know that he wrote them These flashes of Pope's wit are not always true for all times and places and conditions like all utter ances of common sense they hold only for common situa tions They are brilliant expressions of what was true in Pone's world and they hold in the social world of to-day They are the product of a mind with great power of seeing truth at close range, and are at once the most characteristic and the best part of his poetry. They are not expressions of what everybody thought but could not say, but rather are what other people saw dimly and Pope clearly "What oft was thought but ne'er so well expressed" does not do them justice. The infinite pains Pope took in expressing them were partly spent in refining his thought. Not that he was always furthful to it such was his love of polished rhythm that sometimes he said not what he meant but what he could best in ten syllables with a certain rhyme, as human poets will, such pasages, however, are not the ornaments of his poetry, they are rather blotches on it

Pope's theory of literature, as expressed in the <u>Pressy</u> on <u>Criticism</u> and carried out in his works is that the poet should be an oracle of intelligence and common sense.

All the terms which he uses for the poetic freulit, all the words of prace for good poetry, mean what we should mean by good taste and reasonableness as opposed to dulf nees and crudeness on the one hand and to margination on the other

He says that the poet should follow Nature and be guided

81

by her He means not all nature but rather the normal, the act ago, the intelligible All life and all of the world was not beautiful to him, some things were too low and indignified for poetry. Other ideas, those which fall within the province of the mystical and the ideal, were to him unfit for poetry because too vigue and wild to be intelligible to his unimignative nature. He cut himself off from all that was above common sense as well as all that was below it. No other quality that he possessed was so characteristic of the age in which he hived, of its religion and art no less than of its politics and practical hife?

"" Order" to Pope is Heaven's first law" By it his means the order of common since. He advises the poet to follow Nature, not in the rough, but to study her in the classic authors who will show him her most natural and noimal aspects—Nature methodized. He might have gone farther and advised the poet to study nature in the Latin critics, for this trinslator of Homer read him by the rules of Horace and was always a little suspicious of the Greek's attempts to 'snatch a gauge beyond the reach of art'"

I criming was to Pope a development of the judgment by a study of the anthors who were the exponents of that decorum which he believed to be the highest glory of earth or heaven. The history of criticism to him was the history of the discovery of these rules of order and decorum by the ancients, their subversion to "Gothic" ignorance and grote que mysticism during the "Dark Ages," and their sub-equent triumph which began in England in the six teenth century and was fully realized in his own day

Wit is a term which he uses in a general way for the poetic and intellectual faculty His conception of it, like

most of bis idens, is plain enough on the level of common sense but has no logical clearness. It is in general quick ness and alertness of mind, neither heavy nor dull nor silly nor mystic. Sometimes he includes in it the restraining judgment, sometimes not, in which latter ease it is wit and sense together which constitute the literary faculty.

Poetry was to Pope, as will be seen, the expression of thought. His idea of it differed from Wordsworth's essentially in this, that he did not recognize the imagination as an intellectual power, and he tried as best he could to suppress it, and always to guide his feelings by the reason. His vision by in the world of everyday, in that world it is clear and keen, but for that world alone is it true.

As might be expected Pope is at his best in poems which call into play his faculty of saying keen things about the people around him, that is in his satires To us a great deal of their point is lost because we are too far from his time to understand without great labor much that he says But it is still possible to eatch enough of this point to illustrate the truth of what I say The satires show often his personal littleness and meanness The history of them and of the causes by which they were provoked is a long tissue of vindictiveness, deceit and vanity, but at the same time one feels, in spite of his per onal shortcomings, that Pope really held a brief for wit as against dullness, which fact gives these poems their appeal They cut as keenly as a razor and show Pope's extraordinary ability to seize on every aberration from taste and sense and hold it up to ridicule

Such poetry is the expression of qualities greatly ad mired in the eighteenth century and they made Pope

Pope 83

eventually literary dictator to his time. These qualities, whatever their value as compared with the imaginative ideals in of other ages, were distinctly intellectual. The value of Pope's poetry as we have said is the value of his thought, which is only nurrored in his language. It was next rither than profound I een rather than noble, sensible rather than ideal, but no less thought.



### IX

### MILTON

MILTON'S work, much more than that of any other of the great English poets, is the possession of the few, and Milton himself, though he lived in the full stress and swing of the revolutionary politics of his day, a fighter and a reformer, was really an rolated figure, having very little intimate himian relationship with those around him Of Milton the youth, the triend of Wotton, the writer of Italian sonnets and of the matchless early poems, this is not true, but he readily deserted the lyric beauty of his earlier poetic visions and the gricious privacy of his early life for the stern battle of the reformer with the stubborn facts of everyday and he never returned to the point of view he had abandoned. As a reformer he was not appreciated, his ideas were never adopted by his party, they were never carried out, but undismayed he turned from the polities of England to those of the nuiver t, and he finished his career by writing his majestic tragedy of Samson Agonistes which had this much in common with his own story that like Samson be felt himself an instru ment of God, sent to do His work defeated by his enemies, blinded, and despited but, in the triumph of his great poem, which partly came before his death, mightily victorious over them in the end

Milton lived from 1608 to 1674. The veirs from 1625 to 1632, the seventeenth to the twenty fourth of his life,

he spent at Cambridge The six years following he spent in studious leisure at Horton, supported by his father, preparing himself for his chosen vocation of poetry. In 1638 he went abroad to complete his studies, but returned the next year, sooner than he had planned, when the threatened outbreak of the Revolution offered him a chance to serve his committy, or, as some will prefer to say, his party. Milton was heart and soul a Puritin, no less in his poetry than in his political pamphiets, and, for the sake of the light which it throws on his character and work, it is worth while to stop for a brief consideration of this party to which he gave up twenty years of his life and to who e ind is he, was true until his death

The Reformation of Henry VIII's reign had left in England three parties in ecclesiastical matters (1) those who e sympathies were with the Roman Church and who would have liked to see the English Church reunited with it. (2) the extreme reformers who would have hiled to see the Reformation go much further than it did, who paid no reverence to the traditions of any church but instead considered the Bible as the sole revelation of God's will to man, and (3) the orthodox Church of England party which stood half way between the two, denving the authority of the Pope but maintaining a belief in the traditions of the church as one authoritative expression of the divine plan of salvation, and claiming that the true primitive dectrines and practices of the one Catholic Church were better represented by the English than by the Roman communion

All through the raign of Elizabeth these three parties existed. But the fear of Roman Catholic plots at home and of Spanish invasion from abroad led patriotic English

men to disrigard their own differences in order to defend their Queen and their country from the double peril Elizabeth, with the tact and sagnetty of her family, made the most of this bond of unity. She persecuted both Roman and Puritan extremists, but was very chary of making marty's of either and altogether succeeded at the difficult task of maintaining the equilibrium

Her successors the Stuarts, were unequal to this tak Their sympathies went rather towards the 'High Church" end of the scale which by the consperated Puritan element, was fiercely branded as papietical Religious troubles were complicated by difficulties between King and Parliament over questions of taxation. The result was the rebellion of 1640 When it broke out the zeal and fury of the Puritan party, all the fiercer for having been held buck for a century, went to extremes which were all the more terrible for the restrained determination with which they were carried out. The austere and lofty vision of these terrible reformers and invincible fighters can be t be ex pressed by the words which were then in every mind "Thy on earth as it is in heaven' They Lingdom come were fired by the idea of reorganizing the state on the basis not of man's law but of God's, as revealed in His Word No wonder they could trample under foot the English constitution and the English Ling when they seemed to stand in the way of that glorious realization No wonder they despised ordinary frivolities and pleasures They felt themselves soldiers and prophets of the Lord with His work to do, and the sincerity of their belief made for one thing the army of Cromwell one of the most wonderful fighting machines the world has ever known

For direction, for authority, for light, the Puntan looled, as we have said, to the Scriptures interpreted by each man according to his own inner light As the element of moral cornestness which we have just been considering was responsible for the unity and practical fighting strength of the Puritan party, so is this second element, the nature of their authority, responsible for their divergence one from mother and for their practical wealness. They tended to deny tradition and to set little store by earthly learning, each man was a law unto bimself, without always being very tolerant of the different views of his neighbors, hence their quarrels and dissensions, hence their unbility to hold together once they had achieved a victory. They had a bond of unity while they were in a state of opposition to an orthodoxy already established, but when this was overthrown they had neither an orthodoxy to establish in its stend nor the brendth and wisdom (which would have been little less than mirrorulous in that age) to act up a regume of complete toleration. Whatever the abstract ments of their creed, it resulted practically in religious and political anarchy or in the rule of the less sincere

It is this second element in Puritument the fact that each was a law into him elf, which indices the type so hard to define, which enables one party to include such diverse natures as Milton Croinvell, and Bunyan It is this element which was responsible for the numberless stupid and ernel acts of which the Printians were enable. To the stupid the rule of life was their own stupidity, to the cruel their own ernelty. It is this which made Puritumism so frintful in the production of that worst of all classes of hypocrites those who deceive even them elve-But, on the other hand, it is this element which enabled.

Puritanism to take such lofts forms in the case of the more intelligent and sincere, which give to the best of the parts an independence of thought hardly known from the days of the non theological Greeks until science broke the fetters of theology in our own day. If the stupid were no longer compelled to respect the opinions of the wise, neither were the wise in bondage to the stupid geniuses like Milton and Bunyan were free to mup out their own paths and enrich our literature with religious works which have not been equalled since the Middle Ages when Chris tian theology was created

The "unner light" by which the Puritan interpreted the Scriptures and ruled his life, was nothing more nor less than the power of thought-what Wordsworth would have called imagination, and Pope reason. In the case of the stupid and the ignorant this thought is narrow and false by cutting themselves off from all guidance except the Bible most of the Puritans, as Arnold well says, mis understood even that But in the case of men capable of getting from books, as did Milton, or from life as did Bunyan, commentary on the Bible, the result was to do for us what all great thought does, open up new vistis and throw new light on the task wanth life forces upon us all-to understand its mystery and its meaning

In the case of Milton as in that of any other poet worthy of the name, it is not possible to give in such form as this the real extent and es ence of his thought, but only to give the student some inkling of its trend, which will serve its full purpose if it whets his interest and directs him in his search for that meaning which it will require the work of years fully to attain

Milton's early postry is for the most part tentative and experimental, he is casting about for subjects, trying his powers in this direction and that. The results have the interest that attaches to every stage of the development of so great a mind but an interest inferior to that of his more important later works. In LAllegro and Il Pense 1050 he is pondering on the pleasures of sense as contrasted with the pleasures of thought, choosing between "the hedge row elms, on hillocks green,"

While the ploughman near at hand Whistles over the furrowed land And the milkmaid singeth blithe And the mover whets his seythe And every sheplerd tells his tale linder the haystorn in the dile

and, on the other side, the high lonely tower of the student, at middinght meditation on hife and immortality. He makes no choice but every reader feels that the choice is made for him. Tohnson found, he says, no mirth in II. Penseroso but some melancholy (which we must here translate as thought or meditation) in L. Allegro. He is right the poems express not two characters but one—John Milton—and only a part of him. Ratishing as they are in their beauty, the poems are to bun only.

Such sights as voutlful poets dream On summer eves by haunted stream

There is in their nothing of the sublimity of thought that was to belong to the full grown man

Comus is another dream of the vouthful poet, another picture full of infinite grace and delicacy of the pleasures

of sense, with just the touch of serious thought which marks Milton as a Puritan in all his works. He is still at play, he has not yet discovered all his powers, but he has one quality in these three poems which in his later work he was to lose, the quality of beauty, in the restricted sense of that word, as opposed to grandem or sublimit. Even poets must ply the price of their grifts, and when Milton in the strength of his poetic minhood applies him self to "Things unattempted yet in proce or rhyme," hi work has no more of this grace and loveline s of his vouth when he could still allow himself to "fleet the time care lessly, as they did in the golden world."

The sonnets of the period of the Rehellion show the gradual change in Milton's point of view and highes of thought. His mind is more and more engressed in politice and the stern Hebrasitic Puritan religion. In Paradise Lost the change is complete. The Milton who now, after twenty years, turns again wholly to poetry is another min.

Paradise Lost gives us in poetic form Milton's conception of the organization of the iniverse and his explination of our sinful state. So extensive has been the influence of the poem the inajectic pageant which it infold has taken such hold of the imaginations of the Fighish people, that in the minds of a whole multitude. Wilton's account of the events of inin's creation and full it confut of which that of the Bible it eff and has become a part of the traditional ideas of the race. And vet few people upon a careful examination would find the poem satisfactors as a system of thought. For all the use that the Christian religion has unade of it Milton's as ten can hardly be squared with what is ordinarily con detect Christian before Milton was not a phalosopher and has produced nother